

POSITION PAPER

Did Plato Mean What He Said?

Where the Sane Man Disappears

Merkle, B.¹ Rodionov, V.²

November 2025

¹Independent researcher, Here.build; x.com/merkle_bonsai; Immunefi top-40 whitehat (ethical hacker); email: merklebonsai@pm.me; ORCID 0009-0006-4439-1033

²Independent researcher, Here.build; email: v@here.build; ORCID 0009-0002-3687-8336

Abstract

After more than two millennia of reading Plato, we are still stuck with separate mystical, rational, and philosophical views, with each interpretation school still labeling many of Plato's words as a metaphor. Topics of timeless perception, mania superior to sanity, dying practice that produces knowledge, and other oddities are collectively too problematic for any single interpretive approach to resolve in full.

This paper proposes an integrated approach based on a single question: what if Plato were phenomenologically explicit?

It connects multiple, often distant, areas of knowledge into three interlocked claims: Mania maps onto the flow state; Arts and harmony studies build a subconscious ethics framework; In flow-like states, characterized by ego suppression, this framework acts as a moral compass in the absence of *narrative self*. Together, these claims build a hermeneutic that is capable of reading both mystical, logical, and philosophical parts coherently, while explaining why "there is no way of putting it into words."

Spanning classics, phenomenology, education theory, neuroscience, and psychology, this position paper acknowledges that no single scholar can master this breadth alone; it serves as a call for interdisciplinary collaboration to test and refine this integrated reading.

Keywords:

Plato, flow state, mania, Default Mode Network, consciousness studies, virtue ethics, phenomenology, ancient philosophy, neuroscience, education

Supplementary materials and interdisciplinary coordination:

leapingspark.github.io

Introduction:

The Puzzles Hidden in Plain Sight

The sane man disappears and is nowhere when he enters into
rivalry with the madman.
Plato, *Phaedrus* 245a

To be shaken out of the ruts of ordinary perception, to be
shown for a few timeless hours the outer and inner world, not
as they appear to an animal obsessed with survival or to a
human being obsessed with words and notions, but as they are
apprehended, directly and unconditionally, by Mind at
Large—this is an experience of inestimable value.
Aldous Huxley, *Doors of Perception*

There is a peculiar passage in Plato’s Cave allegory that scholars have politely ignored for twenty-four centuries. The prisoners are not simply chained—Plato specifies that they have chains on their legs, **their necks, and their heads**, preventing any movement of the skull itself. The setup is mechanically excessive, almost absurd. Why not simply say “each is fixed in place” or “every prisoner sits in his niche”? Instead, this setup is highlighted: “how could they see anything but the shadows if they were **never allowed to move their heads**?” The few scholars who have noticed suggest it is for dramatic effect, which is academic speak for “we don’t know.” DeNicola suggests that elaborate restraints serve to constrain the prisoners’ field of attention^[1], but this observation merely restates the problem rather than solving it: forced attention for what purpose?

The prisoner can close their eyes—they remain free to not see. But they cannot choose what to look at. They are trapped not in vision but in the direction of attention itself.

And this seemingly excessive detail, which this paper handles as a highly precise allegory of a phenomenological experience, is not unique. Scattered throughout Plato’s dialogues are passages so strange, so phenomenologically specific, that

traditional scholarship either ignores them or explains them away as metaphor, mysticism, or Platonic whimsy. However, these oddities follow a pattern. They describe, with startling precision, states of consciousness that would not be systematically studied for another two millennia.

Consider the accumulating puzzles: Why does Plato call philosophy a form of “madness” explicitly superior to sanity? Not occasionally, not metaphorically, but systematically across multiple dialogues. The *Phaedrus* declares that “the sane man disappears and is nowhere when he enters into rivalry with the madman” (245a). The *Ion* insists that without divine possession—literal loss of ordinary consciousness—even a perfect technique produces only mediocrity. The poet must become “a light and winged and holy thing,” with “no invention in him” until “the mind is no longer in him” (534b).

Why does the *Phaedo* characterize philosophy as “practicing death”? Not detachment, not asceticism, but actually rehearsing the separation of consciousness from bodily awareness, daily, deliberately, as the core philosophical practice. Why does Socrates stand motionless for twenty-four hours at Potidaea, so absorbed that soldiers make camp around him to watch? Why does the *Symposium* describe philosophical contemplation as so consuming that one would willingly live “without meat or drink, if that were possible”—not from self-denial but because the activity itself transcends biological need?

These are not flourishes. They are precise phenomenological descriptions of what modern science considers to be flow states¹—optimal experiences characterized by complete absorption, loss of self-consciousness, altered time perception, and automatic expert performance. Plato did not just stumble upon these states; he built his entire theory of virtue formation around them.

Recent scholarship has begun to examine these passages as descriptions of actual contemplative practices^[2], although their role in the formation of Platonic virtues remains unexplored.

This paper advances three interlocking claims that re-frame Platonic ethics:

- First, Plato systematically describes flow states across at least nine dialogues, using consistent phenomenological markers that match modern psychological research. These descriptions aren’t metaphorical or mystical but

¹Flow denotes the optimal state of absorbed, high-performance engagement arising from challenge-skill convergence; reliably induced and empirically measured, yet phenomenologically underdetermined (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990).

empirically accurate accounts of altered consciousness that occur during peak performance.

- Second, the humanistic, multi-domain harmony education approach described by Plato—similar to the core principles of Montessori and Waldorf—constitute the actual mechanism through which virtue forms in Platonic philosophy. Not through intellectual understanding, not through habituation, but through aesthetics and harmony perception that restructures the soul during periods of heightened neuro-plasticity, embodying the pre-conscious mechanism of distinguishing virtue and vice.
- Third, regular deep flow states with pre-conscious harmony framework render virtue automatic, not consciously chosen or even acknowledged, but structurally inevitable as only reasonable choice. The virtuous person does not decide to act justly; justice has become “the only way to do things.” This is making unethical decisions not suppressed but phenomenologically impossible, like trying to hear colors or see sounds.

The Pattern Behind the Pattern

This reading² solves longstanding interpretive puzzles, from the *Meno*’s conclusion that virtue cannot be taught (because transformation is not teaching, it is self-rewiring of the brain, like how Cognitive Behavioral Therapy physically forms

²The use of term “flow state” can potentially be critiqued as anachronistic—yet it will be a category error since it will be based on a centuries of research performed before the term “flow state” was introduced by Csikszentmihalyi in 1975; this does not mean there was no such thing as “flow state” before 1975—this is a typical fallacy of the “There was no gravity before Newton” kind. Even if the flow state is anachronistic, we definitely had flow-like states, mentioned in the “Body That Isn’t There” section below, like meditation and *jhānas*.

Even if usage of “flow state” is anachronistic, the following statement is fully correct: “States empirically and physiologically similar to flow, such as *jhānas*, were already known at Plato’s time,” with the first mentions of *jhānas*, as Default Mode Network (DMN)-suppressing states with similar characteristics, coming from Vedas (1500–500 BCE) and Upanishads (800–400 BCE), while Plato was born around 423–427 BCE.

However, what Plato wrote about may indeed be not specifically a flow state—yet something very similar, or something that is based on top of flow state. The flow state seems to be both both most studied state across all suppressed Default Mode Network (DMN) states, and also the phenomenological state that matches most onto Plato’s empirical experience descriptions. We acknowledge that this may be different state or palette of states that are described as “mania” or “out of mind”; yet, the descriptions of those states seems to be connected to *narrative self* and DMN suppression, and flow state is the most studied of that state group.

new neural pathways) to the *Republic*'s obsession with aestetical and harmonious education (because aesthetic training creates the perceptual infrastructure for recognizing Forms). It explains why dialectic alone never produces virtue in the dialogues, why Socrates' intellectualism coexists with mystical imagery, and why the philosopher-kings can return to the cave without corruption.

Together, this makes Plato's work look like the world's first systematic documentation of flow states and their role in human transformation. We just needed two millenia and a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) machine to see it.

Last note before we start: this position paper intentionally stepped aside from typical structure and writing style, while preserving the academic rigor and deep respect to sources quoted. This is not an attempt to make the reading more accessible; instead, this is the only way to increase the chance of delivering the ideas expressed. The paper itself provides the explanatory framework for "There is no way of putting it in words like other studies," and it would be wrong to use only logical arguments for that point. The format of the paper is recursively embodying the conclusions: the writing style, the quotes used, and the general structure are trying to let the reader both read and experience the described.

We see academia as self-perceiving, self-inventing, yet locked-in—a system aspiring to formalization, seeking to determine every hypothesis valid or invalid. Should academia reach that goal, papers like this become living proof of Gödel's theorem—unthinkable to approve even if impossible to disprove.

Chapter 1

The Catalogue of Absurdities

They knew many things but had no idea why. And strangely
this made them more, rather than less, certain that they were
right.

Neal Stephenson, *Anathem*

The poets composed their poems not by wisdom but by some
natural talent and by inspiration, like seers and prophets who
also say many fine things without any understanding of what
they say.

Plato, *Apology* 22b-c

Let us begin with an embarrassment. After twenty-four centuries of scholarship, extensive commentary, and thousands of dissertations, there remain passages in Plato so bizarre that the academy's primary strategy has been to look politely away. Not obscure passages. Not fragments. Central, repeated themes in major dialogues that we simply cannot explain without retreating into that scholarly surrender known as "metaphor."

1.1 The Death-Obsessed Philosopher

Start with the *Phaedo*, where Socrates makes an extraordinary claim about philosophical life:

"The true philosophers practice dying, and death is less terrible to them than
to any other sort of men"

—Plato, *Phaedo* 67e

Not “accept death,” “acknowledge death,” or “do not fear death.” *Practice* dying. Daily. Deliberately. As their primary occupation. Socrates elaborates that philosophy consists in separating the soul from the body “as much as possible” while still alive, training for the complete separation that death will bring. The philosopher should minimize eating, drinking, sex, even wearing nice clothes—not from asceticism but because these activities anchor consciousness to the body.

This is already strange. But then comes the truly inexplicable part: this “practicing death” somehow produces wisdom. Not metaphorically. Socrates insists that only in this state, consciousness separated from bodily awareness, can the soul perceive truth directly. The living philosopher must become as corpse-like as possible to achieve knowledge.

But something more precise is happening here. Phenomenological research suggests that what creates the continuous sense of “I” is temporal binding—the integration of past, present, and future moments into a coherent self-narrative^[3]. When Socrates speaks of “separating soul from body,” he may be describing the dissolution of this temporal integration. The philosopher practices dismantling the *narrative self*, the “I” constructed through time, while maintaining the *minimal self*, the immediate subject of experience that exists only now.

Phenomenological research suggests that the existence of “I” emerges from temporal binding processes^[3]. The attention focused at “now” is a reason that emerges “I” and the *narrative self*. *Narrative self*, or the *narrator*, is an interpreter and storyteller about “I” that describes to our *core* (*minimal self*, the immediate subjectivity without extended temporal narrative) what “I” see, what “I” think, what “I” want—and becomes the cause of “I” existence in a moment of “now.” Instead of letting the *core* see and perceive reality directly, *narrator* is performing a shadow theater on a cave wall to tell a story for our *core* about what the reality is and what “I” think and feel about it.

This is not a death practice as a metaphor. It is systematic training in disrupting the temporal binding processes that normally maintain self-continuity. The philosopher learns to exist as pure now-perceiving, without the scaffolding of past-present-future that creates the feeling “I am a continuously existing being.” In temporal terms: practicing how consciousness persists when the organizing function that creates “I-ness” is deliberately suspended.

The *Phaedo*’s claim that this produces wisdom makes sudden sense. If the *narrative self* is constructed through temporal integration—and if that construction involves distortion, selection, and projection—then suspending it might reveal what

is actually present, unfiltered by the self-story. Not death of consciousness, but death of the temporal structure that narrates consciousness to itself.

1.2 The Inspired Ignoramus

The *Ion* presents an even more baffling paradox. Ion is the greatest rhapsode in Greece, able to perform Homer so brilliantly that audiences weep and rage with his recitations. Yet when Socrates questions him, Ion knows *nothing* about Homer's meaning. He can't explain military strategy from the *Iliad*, can't discuss chariot racing though he performs those passages, and can't even compare Homer to other poets. His expertise vanishes the moment he stops performing.

How is this possible? Socrates' explanation defies everything we think we know about Platonic rationalism:

"The poet is a light and winged and holy thing, and there is no invention in him until he has been inspired and is out of his senses, and the mind is no longer in him"

—Plato, *Ion* 534b

Excellence requires the mind to be *absent*. The rhapsode must become hollow, a conduit for divine possession. Most remarkably, Socrates insists that this mindless state produces *better* results than conscious technique:

"God takes away the minds of poets...in order that we who hear them may know that they speak not of themselves...but that God himself is the speaker"

—Plato, *Ion* 534c-d

The technically trained artist who remains conscious produces mediocrity. The possessed performer who loses their mind produces excellence. This is not anti-intellectual skepticism—it is Plato, through Socrates, apparently attacking the primacy of rational consciousness.

1.3 The Philosophers Who Forget to Eat

The *Symposium* escalates the absurdity. Diotima describes the ultimate achievement of philosophical life:

"What if man had eyes to see the true beauty—the divine beauty...in that communion only, beholding beauty with the eye of the mind, he will be

enabled to bring forth, not images of beauty, but realities...Do you not see that in that communion only will he be able to bring forth not mere reflected images of goodness but true goodness because he will be in contact not with a reflection but with the truth?"

—Plato, Symposium 211d–212a

This sounds appropriately philosophical until she adds this detail:

"If it were given to man to see beauty itself, pure, unalloyed, stripped of mortality and all its pollution, stains, and vanities...to see the divine beauty itself in its unique form—do you think...that a man who has his eye fixed on that and contemplates it and is in communion with it would have a miserable life? ... Many a one would be content to live seeing them only and conversing with them **without meat or drink, if that were possible**—you only want to look at them and to be with them"

—Plato, Symposium 211d-e

Not "forgetting to eat" in distraction. Not fasting for purification. The philosopher would prefer, if possible, to transcend biological necessity entirely because the activity itself is more nourishing than food. The contemplation becomes self-sustaining, more vital than physical sustenance.

1.4 The Madman Superior to the Sane

The *Phaedrus* contains perhaps the most scandalous passage in all Plato. After carefully distinguishing types of madness, Socrates declares:

"Madness, provided it comes as a gift of heaven, is the channel by which we receive the greatest blessings...Madness is superior to a sane mind, for the one is only of human, but the other of divine origin"

—Plato, *Phaedrus* 244a-d

He then systematically argues that all human excellence comes through divine madness: prophecy through prophetic madness, healing through ritual madness, poetry through poetic madness, and—most importantly—philosophy through erotic madness. The philosopher is literally *mad*, and this madness makes them superior to the sane.

The *Phaedrus* even provides phenomenological detail about this philosophical madness:

“When he sees the beauty of earth is transported with the recollection of true beauty; he would like to fly away, but he cannot; he is like a bird fluttering and looking upward and careless of the world below; and he is therefore thought to be mad”

—Plato, *Phaedrus* 249d

The philosopher ignores practical life, forgets social conventions, and appears to be deranged to normal people—not because of incompetence but because they have entered an altered state that makes ordinary consciousness impossible.

1.5 The Compulsive Stander

These passages might be dismissed as rhetorical excess, except Plato keeps grounding them in concrete examples. The *Symposium* opens with Aristodemus finding Socrates “absorbed in thought” and standing still on a neighbor’s porch, missing the dinner party. Aristodemus explains this is normal:

“This is a habit of his—sometimes he stops anywhere and stands still for no reason”

—Plato, *Symposium* 175b

Later, Alcibiades recounts an incident with Socrates from military service:

“One morning he was thinking about something which he could not resolve; he would not give it up, but continued thinking from early dawn until noon—there he stood fixed in thought...at last, in the evening after supper, some of the soldiers...brought out their mats and slept in the open air that they might watch him and see whether he would stand all night. There he stood until the following morning; and with the return of light he offered up a prayer to the sun, and went his way”

—Plato, *Symposium* 220c-d

Twenty-four hours. Standing. Motionless. So absorbed that an entire military camp treats him as entertainment. This is not a philosophical contemplation as we understand it. This is something entirely different.

1.6 The Technical Peculiarities

Beyond these dramatic passages lie technical descriptions so specific that they read like instruction manuals for states we cannot identify. The *Timaeus* describes the soul's "revolutions":

"The courses of the soul...when they meet with something outside whether of the class of the same or other, they speak of the same or of the other in a manner the very opposite of the truth; and they become false and foolish, and there is no course or revolution in them which has a guiding or directing power"

—Plato, *Timaeus* 44a

But through proper training:

"When the flood of growth and nutriment abates, and the courses of the soul, calming down, go their own way and become steadier as time goes on, then the several circles return to their natural form, and their revolutions are corrected, and they call the same and the other by their right names"

—Plato, *Timaeus* 44b

The soul has "circles" and "revolutions" that can be aligned or misaligned. Philosophy involves correcting these revolutions until they match cosmic patterns. This is presented not as a metaphor, but as psychological mechanics.

1.7 The Pattern in the Madness

Each passage, taken alone, might be explained away. The practice of death could be an ascetic tradition. The possessed poet could be religious imagery. The standing Socrates could be a biographical embellishment. The technical descriptions could be a cosmological metaphor.

But together? They form a pattern too consistent to ignore:

- Loss of normal consciousness is *required* for excellence
- This loss follows predictable patterns and can be deliberately induced
- The state produces specific valuable results unavailable to normal awareness
- The experience is so rewarding that it surpasses biological drives

- The transformation is structural, not just psychological
- The process can be systematically trained

Plato returns to these descriptions obsessively. The *Ion*, *Phaedo*, *Symposium*, *Phaedrus*, *Republic*, *Timaeus*, *Laws*, *Seventh Letter*—all contain variations on the same theme. Something happens to consciousness during philosophical practice. Something specific, repeatable, transformative. Something that makes madness superior to sanity, death preferable to life, standing still for twenty-four hours not only acceptable but necessary.

What was Plato describing? The traditional answers—religious ecstasy, mystical experience, metaphorical excess—crumble under scrutiny. These passages are too precise, too technical, and too consistent. They document specific states of consciousness with specific characteristics achieved through specific practices that produce specific results.

For twenty-four centuries, we have been reading descriptions of something we do not recognize, so we have explained them away. But what if Plato was not being metaphorical? What if he were being literal, precise, or even scientific? What if he were documenting real states that most of us have never experienced?

What if the madman really is superior to the sane?

Chapter 2

The False Dichotomy

I couldn't tell you what it is. I can tell you what it's like. That's
what language is for.
China Miéville, *Embassytown*

There is no way of putting it in words like other studies...
suddenly, like a light that is kindled by a leaping spark, it is
generated in the soul and at once sustains itself.
Plato, *Seventh Letter* 341c

2.1 The Tell in the Translation

When translators encounter the Greek word *ekphrōn* (ἐκφρῶν), something curious happens.

Benjamin Jowett's "out of mind" in *Ion* was preserved in Lamb's and Fowler's translation, and all future translations oscillate around those terms. "Out of their sane mind," "out of senses," "not in right mind" all come from first Latin translation of "alienation of mind." However, *ekphrōn*, being composed of *ek-* (the spatial term for "out of") and *phrenes*, is quite problematic when we are reading Plato.

Phrenes is a complex term: Homer labeled it as a storage for the mind centuries before Plato, but both at Homer's and Plato's days it was also meaning area of diaphragm¹. However, using it as a metaphor conflicts with Plato's concept of a tripartite soul, with reason being placed in head, while chest—including *phrenes*—delegated to spirit (*Thumos*).

¹Hippocrates, who lived at the same time Plato did, wrote texts on *phrenitis* (diaphragm inflammation), including explicit comment on physical pain "in the phrenes" (diaphragm).^[4]

Look at how Plato uses words with root *-phren-*: he never uses *aphrōn* (mindless)² or *phronimos* (prudent/wise)³ to describe divine madness. But he frequently uses *ek-/ep-* prefixes to build complex spatial terms like *ek-stasis* and *ex-aiphnēs*. He uses *phronesis*, indeed—but only during analytic discussions of virtue, and never in phenomenological descriptions of altered states; and in *Cratylus* he explicitly mocks the etymology of *phronesis*, having Socrates ironically claim it means “perception of motion and flux”—suggesting that these philosophical terms have lost their original meanings and become mere abstractions.

If *ekphrōn* already meant “out of mind,” why would Plato redundantly add that the mind (*nous*) is no longer present?

How could *ekphrōn* even mean “out of mind”, when the mind was never there in Plato’s design?

Ek-/ex- prefix, to be noted, did not have a negative connotation here in comparison—like in *exaíphnēs* it stands for “suddenly”, or “out of sudden”—and may mean relocation, not displacement.

Combined with *en-theos* (ἐνθεός), appearing just two words away from *ekphrōn* in *Ion*, with translation varying from “inspired” to “possessed by god” or “having god within”—*en-* is one more spatial term—it raises an uneasy question. Why has no one translated two spatial terms standing next to each other—“having god inside” and “self outside diaphragm”—as spatial, even when researchers argue about that spatiality for decades?

Matthew Shelton highlighted the replacement process in the 2024 study explicitly: “Both philosophical and other kinds of divine madness involve having something divine inside a human body (*entheos*): in the former a human soul has become godlike; in the latter a human soul has been displaced by a god”^[5]. Daniel Werner in 2011 similarly argued that divine possession requires the mind’s place to be vacant^[6]. Even Dodds in *The Greeks and the Irrational*^[7] wrote that prophetic madness needed “enthusiasm in its original and literal sense—the god entering into the prophet.”

Yet, no translation says “soul is replaced by the god inside the chest” or at least “mind yields the body to divine presence.” The framework and tradition of translation is so rigid even the bridges that are confirmed are not used.

²*Aphrōn* appears in *Republic* III.403a and X.598b-c for harmful, irrational madness.

³*Phronimos* denotes practical wisdom and sound judgment in ordinary human contexts, representing the rational wisdom divine madness transcends or disrupts.

What we know for sure is that Plato is playing with meanings of complex ideas. *Exaíphnēs* (ἐξαίφνης), for example—“suddenly” mentioned above—is a puzzle even more curious. Joseph Cimakasky’s 2017 book *The Role of Exaíphnes in Early Greek Literature*^[8] discovers that Plato is transforming the meaning of *exaíphnēs* radically, from tragical to enlightening.

Many philosophers have seen this odd nature of *exaíphnēs* translation, backed by Plato himself labeling this thing *atopon* (ἄτοπος), “strange” or “placeless” thing, used as a complex term in many different places across Plato’s works. Heidegger labeled it the ecstatic timeless moment: “In the ἐκ [from] is already found the εἰς [towards].” Francisco Gonzalez in “Shattering Presence” explains that it is something emerging from an instant rather than just “suddenly”^[9]. Many scholars were charmed or confused by this term, each trying to give his own explanation of this “being out of time for a moment”, like Asadullah Khan labelling it *measureless, pre-perceptual time*^[10].

Exaíphnēs is still translated as just “suddenly” by every translator, who are passing this word like an Olympic flame.

Many terms Plato uses—like *Exaíphnēs*—are simply too heavy to change. It is impossible to question “maybe this word means a bit different thing” without questioning cultural foundations—so *exaíphnēs* still remain just “suddenly”. The inertia, the weight of every word’s history is too heavy to drift it towards something else.

Yet, looks like Plato was forced during the translation into dichotomies he rejects: rational or irrational, literal or metaphorical, philosophical or religious, sane or mad. The translation tradition has created a Plato who never existed—a dualist trapped in the very dichotomies his tripartite soul was designed to transcend.

2.2 The Metaphor That Isn’t

Consider how scholars handle Socrates standing motionless for twenty-four hours at Potidaea. The passage bristles with specificity:

“One morning he was thinking about something which he could not resolve; he would not give it up, but continued thinking from early dawn until noon—there he stood fixed in thought; and at noon attention was drawn to him, and the rumour ran through the wondering crowd that Socrates had been standing and thinking about something ever since the break of day. At last, in the evening after supper, some Ionians out of curiosity (I should explain that this was not in winter but in summer), brought out their mats

and slept in the open air that they might watch him and see whether he would stand all night. There he stood until the following morning; and with the return of light he offered up a prayer to the sun, and went his way”

—Plato, Symposium 220c-d

This cannot be a metaphor. Metaphors do not specify seasons, name witnesses, track precise hours. However, calling it “literal” makes scholars uncomfortable—it describes something too strange for a philosophical biography. So we are left with an impossible choice: either Plato embellished (but why add such bizarre detail?) or this actually happened (but what does it mean?).

The false dichotomy—literal or metaphorical—prevents us from seeing a third option: Plato describes real states with precision, but these states don’t fit our categories of “real.”

2.3 The System in the Mysticism

When scholars encounter Plato’s “divine madness,” they typically invoke mystery religions—Orphism, Dionysian cults, Eleusinian mysteries. This explains the vocabulary, but misses something crucial: Plato does not just borrow religious language; he systematizes it with philosophical precision.

First, he distinguishes types:

“There are two kinds of madness, one produced by human infirmity, the other by a divine release from the customary habits”

—Plato, Phaedrus 265a

Then he creates the taxonomy:

“The divine madness was subdivided into four kinds, prophetic, initiatory, poetic, erotic, having four gods presiding over them; the first was the inspiration of Apollo, the second that of Dionysus, the third that of the Muses, the fourth that of Aphrodite and Eros”

—Plato, Phaedrus 265b

Then he ranks them by value, analyzes their mechanisms, and describes their phenomenology. This is not mysticism—it’s psychology. But because he uses religious vocabulary, we exile these passages from his “serious” philosophy.

Scholars are increasingly treating these descriptions as phenomenological reports of real practices rather than literary metaphor^[2], although the ethical implications remain underdeveloped.

2.4 The Development That Never Develops

Perhaps these are youthful enthusiasms Plato later abandons? Chronology destroys this escape.

Early:

“For the poet is a light and winged and holy thing, and there is no invention in him until he has been inspired and is out of his senses, and the mind is no longer in him.”

—Plato, *Ion* 534b

Late:

“The poet whenever he sits on the tripod of the Muse is not in his right mind—he is like a fountain which gives free course to the upward rush of water.”

—Plato, *Laws* 719c

Latest:

“Suddenly, like a light that is kindled by a leaping spark, it is generated in the soul and at once sustains itself.”

—Plato, *Seventh Letter* 341c-d

The theme does not fade; it intensifies. The *Laws*, Plato’s final work, contains the puppet metaphor where reason is “soft” and needs emotion’s “hard” assistance. Whatever Plato was describing, he became more convinced of its reality over time.

2.5 The Integration We Can’t See

The most damaging false dichotomy is rational versus irrational. We assume that Plato must choose sides. But observe what he actually says:

“Madness is superior to a sane mind for the one is only of human, but the other of divine origin”

—Plato, *Phaedrus* 244d

This is not anti-rational. This means that certain states transcend ordinary rationality while producing superior results. The mad philosopher does not abandon reason, but enters states where reason operates differently.

The *Laws* makes integration explicit:

“When, after grasping the rational account, they consent thereunto that they have been rightly trained in fitting practices:—this consent, viewed as a whole, is goodness”

—Plato, *Laws* 653c

Reason does not replace aesthetic training; it “consents” to what feeling already knows. The fully educated person has both trained feeling and rational understanding, integrated rather than opposed.

2.6 The Consistent Impossibility

What emerges from these false dichotomies is a consistent pattern of scholarly avoidance. We have created multiple strategies to avoid confronting what Plato actually describes:

- Call it metaphorical (but it is too specific)
- Call it religious (but it is too analytical)
- Call it early (but it persists throughout)
- Call it irrational (but it produces knowledge)
- Call it literal (but it is too strange)

Each escape fails because Plato describes something that transcends our categories. He is not metaphorical or literal, rational or irrational, philosophical or religious. He is describing states of consciousness that require all these aspects simultaneously.

2.7 The Knowledge Beyond Words

The ultimate statement of this problem comes from the *Seventh Letter*, where Plato addresses the failure of written philosophy directly:

“There is no way of putting it in words like other studies. Acquaintance with it must come rather after a long period of attendance on instruction in the subject itself and of close companionship, when, suddenly, like a light that is kindled by a leaping spark, it is generated in the soul and at once sustains itself”

—Plato, *Seventh Letter* 344b

This is not philosophical resignation or mystical obscurantism. It is a precise description of a pedagogical problem: some knowledge cannot be transmitted through propositions. It must be experienced directly, cultivated through practice, and recognized when it suddenly emerges.

The parallel to Zen koans is exact. A koan like “What is the sound of one hand clapping?” is not a riddle with a clever answer. It is a technology for producing a specific state of consciousness, maybe even physiological, where normal conceptual categories break down and direct insight emerges. The “answer” to a koan cannot be explained to someone who hasn’t experienced the breakthrough, just as Plato’s philosophical “light” cannot be conveyed to someone who has not undergone the prolonged preparation.

Both traditions recognize the same limitation: language evolved to describe objects and concepts, not states of consciousness. When you try to describe the experience of subject-object dissolution, time distortion, or automatic virtue to someone who has not experienced these states, you sound metaphorical or insane. So, you develop indirect methods, such as dialectics, Socratic dialog, Zen koans, or standing meditation that produce the experience rather than describing it.

Plato knew this. He tells us explicitly: the philosophical truth “cannot be put into words like other studies.” Yet, we keep trying to force his descriptions into our words, our categories, our dichotomies. We translate *ekphrōn* either as “inspired” or “out of mind” because “yielded self” makes us ask “who is yielding, if the very selfness is yielded?” We call specific descriptions “metaphorical” because we cannot recognize the states they describe. We split integrated practices into false oppositions because we lack the experience to see their unity.

The awkwardness is not Plato’s. It is ours. We have been trying to read sheet music without knowing how to hear, and instead of admitting our deafness, we have declared the music metaphorical.

2.8 The Astronomer’s Trance

The *Republic* does not just mandate music—it requires astronomy as essential for guardian education (VII, 527d-530c). But not practical navigation astronomy. Plato insists that students must contemplate “the real decorations of the real sky” and use visible heavens only as “patterns” to approach “the truth”, which can be “apprehended only by reason and thought, but not by sight” (529d-e).

This is the same absorption as described in philosophy, poetry, and music. The astronomer becomes lost in contemplating celestial harmonies, cosmic proportions,

and the mathematics of planetary motion. Socrates describes this as necessary for the soul to “learn to look at intelligible things” rather than visible ones.

When someone truly studies astronomy in Plato’s sense, they do not calculate planetary positions. They lose themselves in the patterns behind the patterns, the mathematical relationships that govern cosmic motion. Time distorts. The self dissolves into contemplation of eternal cycles. Excellence emerges not from conscious calculation but from absorption in harmony that transcends the visible.

The pattern repeats: loss of ordinary consciousness, absorption in harmony, automatic excellence emerging when mind departs. The domain changes but the state remains constant.

2.9 The Geometer’s Vision

Geometry receives even stronger emphasis. The *Republic* declares “geometry is the knowledge of the eternally existent”, necessary for philosophers because it “draw the soul toward truth” (527b).

When Socrates describes the sudden vision of Beauty in the *Symposium*, he uses geometric language: “pure, unalloyed, not contaminated with human flesh and color” (211e). The Form of Beauty is accessed through the same absorption-state as music and astronomy—but trained through contemplating spatial harmonies, proportions, and symmetries.

Plato’s Academy famously inscribed “Let no one ignorant of geometry enter.” This was not elitism. It was recognition that geometric thinking creates a specific way of perceiving pattern, proportion, and relationship—essential for recognizing harmony in all domains.

The geometer in deep contemplation does not manipulate figures consciously. They become absorbed in relationships that exist beyond physical diagrams. As with the poet who channels verses, the musician who becomes the music, the geometer dissolves into pure form. Time ceases. The space becomes transparent. What remains is the pattern perceiving itself.

2.10 The Pattern-Matching

The madness appears across all domains Plato considers essential. In poetry, the mind must be absent for excellence. In music, harmony possesses the performer. In geometry, it is contemplation of eternal forms. In mathematics, it is absorption

in numerical relationships. In gymnastics, it is body finding movement without thought.

Each produces the same phenomenology: dissolved self-consciousness, distorted time, absorption, automatic excellence, intrinsic reward. The state is constant. The content of what is contemplated varies across domains. And Plato mandates that training should be done in every domain, not a single one. He writes explicitly on dangers of doing only gymnastics, or arts, or anything else.

Chapter 3

The Pattern

The physical universe was a language with a perfectly ambiguous grammar. Every physical event was an utterance that could be parsed in two entirely different ways, one causal and the other teleological, both valid, neither one disqualifiable no matter how much context was available.
Ted Chiang, *Stories of Your Life and Others*

How real existence is to be studied or discovered is, I suspect, beyond you and me. But we may admit so much, that the knowledge of things is not to be derived from names. They must be studied and investigated in themselves.
Plato, *Cratylus* 439d

3.1 The Obvious Starting Point

Let us begin where the evidence is undeniable. In the *Ion*, Plato provides a description so precise that modern flow researchers could use it as a diagnostic checklist. When Ion performs Homer, something specific happens:

“Are you not carried out of yourself, and does not your soul in an ecstasy seem to be among the persons or places of which you are speaking, whether they are in Ithaca or in Troy or whatever may be the scene of the poem?”
—Plato, *Ion* 535b

Ion confirms:

“That proof strikes home to me, Socrates. For I must frankly confess that at the tale of pity, my eyes are filled with tears, and when I speak of horrors, my hair stands on end and my heart throbs.”

This is not emotional engagement with fiction. Ion has lost the boundary between self and performance. He is simultaneously present on stage and absent from his body, the defining paradox of flow states. Socrates pushes further:

“And are you aware that you produce similar effects on most spectators?”

Ion:

“Only too well; for I look down upon them from the stage, and behold the various emotions of pity, wonder, sternness, stamped upon their countenances.”

The state transmits. Ion’s absorption induces similar states in his audience—what the dialogue calls a “magnetic chain” of possession flowing from Muse to poet to performer to spectator. Modern research on group flow states documents this as “interactional synchrony” or “emotional empathy,” where one person’s deep engagement can trigger similar states in observers^[11].

But here is the crucial point Plato makes through Socrates:

“For the poet is a light and winged and holy thing, and there is no invention in him until he has been inspired and is out of his senses, and the mind is no longer in him: when he has not attained to this state, he is powerless and is unable to utter his oracles”

—Plato, *Ion* 534b

Not that the poet should *try* to lose their mind. Not that it might *help* to be possessed. The mind must be absent, or excellence is impossible. The Greek *ekphrōn* is unambiguous.

3.2 The Contemplative Deepening

If the *Ion* describes performance flow, the *Symposium* reveals something deeper—contemplative absorption so complete that it transcends biological imperatives:

“What if man had eyes to see the true beauty—the divine beauty, pure and unalloyed... Do you not see that in that communion only, beholding beauty with the eye of the mind, he will be enabled to bring forth, not images of

beauty, but realities?...Many a one would be content to live seeing them only and conversing with them without meat or drink, if that were possible—you only want to look at them and to be with them”

—Plato, Symposium 211d–212a

This is not hyperbole about forgetting lunch. Plato describes a state where activity becomes more vital than food itself—where consciousness has shifted so fundamentally that biological drives no longer register. Modern extreme athletes report similar phenomena during deep flow: hunger, thirst, even pain simply disappear from awareness.

The temporal marker is equally precise:

“He who has been instructed thus far in the things of love, and who has learned to see the beautiful in due order and succession, when he comes toward the end will suddenly perceive a nature of wondrous beauty”

—Plato, Symposium 210e

“Suddenly” (exápinas)—after prolonged preparation, breakthrough happens not gradually, but all at once. The same sudden transition appears in the *Seventh Letter*:

“After much converse about the matter itself and a life lived together, suddenly a light, as it were, is kindled in one soul by a flame that leaps to it from another, and thereafter sustains itself”

—Plato, Seventh Letter 341c-d

3.3 The Systematic Taxonomy

The *Phaedrus* proves that Plato isn’t describing random mystical experiences but systematically cataloging distinct states of consciousness. He identifies four types of divine madness, each with specific characteristics:

“Madness, provided it comes as the gift of heaven, is the channel by which we receive the greatest blessings... The divine madness was subdivided into four kinds, prophetic, initiatory, poetic, erotic, having four gods presiding over them; the first was the inspiration of Apollo, the second that of Dionysus, the third that of the Muses, the fourth that of Aphrodite and Eros”

—Plato, *Phaedrus* 244a, 265b

Each type produces specific results impossible for normal consciousness:

- **Prophetic:** “The prophetess at Delphi and the priestesses at Dodona when out of their senses have conferred great benefits on Hellas, both in public and private life, but when in their senses few or none” (244b)
- **Poetic:** “He who, having no touch of Muses’ madness in his soul, comes to the door and thinks that he will get into the temple by the help of art—he, I say, and his poetry are not admitted” (245a)
- **Erotic/Philosophical:** The soul “forgets earthly interests and is rapt in the divine...like a bird fluttering and looking upward and careless of the world below” (249d)

This is not religious mysticism—it is phenomenological precision. Plato identifies distinct altered states, maps their characteristics, and ranks their value for human excellence.

3.4 The Physical Markers

Beyond psychological description, Plato provides somatic markers of these states. The *Phaedrus* describes the philosopher encountering beauty:

“First he shivers and something of the old awe comes over him, then... a strange sweating and heat seizes him. For as he receives the flow of beauty through his eyes, he is warmed”

—Plato, *Phaedrus* 251a-b

Specific physiological responses: shivering, sweating, heat, visual intensity. The *Symposium* adds Socrates’ tendency to stand motionless for hours:

“One morning he was thinking about something which he could not resolve; he would not give it up, but continued thinking from early dawn until noon—there he stood fixed in thought... There he stood until the following morning; and with the return of light he offered up a prayer to the sun, and went his way”

—Plato, *Symposium* 220c-d

This is not philosophical daydreaming. It is cataleptic absorption—consciousness so focused that basic motor functions suspend. Modern studies of deep meditation show similar phenomena: drastically reduced movement, altered breathing, changed muscle tone.

3.5 The Effortless Excellence

The *Ion* introduces a paradox that runs throughout the dialogues: excellence requires absence of effort. The inspired poet becomes “light and winged and holy”—not through strain but through a kind of weightlessness. The *Phaedo* extends this:

“When the soul returns into herself and reflects, then she passes into the realm of purity, and eternity, and immortality, and unchangeableness, which are her kindred, and with them she ever lives, when she is by herself and is not let or hindered; then she ceases from her erring ways, and being in communion with the unchanging is unchanging”

—Plato, *Phaedo* 79d

“Ceases from her erring ways”—the error stops not through conscious correction but because the soul has entered a state where the error becomes impossible. The action becomes automatically correct, as if the musician’s fingers find the right notes without conscious direction.

3.6 The Timeless Moment

The *Parmenides* offer Plato’s most technical analysis of the temporal paradox in these states:

“The instant seems to signify something such that from it change takes place in both directions...this strange thing, the instant, lies between motion and rest, being in no time at all”

—Plato, *Parmenides* 156d-e

This “instant” (*exaíphnēs*)—the same word used in the *Symposium* and the *Seventh Letter*—describes a moment outside the sequential time. Modern flow researchers document the same phenomenon: time does not slow or accelerate; it ceases to exist as a relevant category.

3.7 The Complete Mapping

What emerges from systematic analysis are descriptions that align remarkably with modern phenomenological research. Plato describes experiences that would not find their psychological vocabulary for two millennia.

Complete absorption. The *Ion* describes being “carried out of yourself” (535b), while the *Symposium* depicts someone so consumed that they would be “content without food” (211d). The *Phaedo* adds that the philosopher trains to exist entirely in contemplation, separated from bodily awareness.

Loss of self-consciousness. Across the *Ion* and *Phaedrus*, Plato insists the “mind is no longer in him” (534b) and the soul “forgets earthly interests” (249d). The rational observer disappears, leaving only the activity itself.

Distorted time. The *Symposium* records Socrates standing motionless for 24 hours (220c-d), describes how one “suddenly perceives” truth (210e), and notes how he “occasionally turns aside, anywhere at random, and there he stands” (175b). Time ceases to flow normally.

Effortless control. The poet becomes “light and winged” (*Ion* 534b), excellence emerging without strain. The *Phaedo* describes how the soul “ceases from erring ways” (79d)—not through effort but through entering a state where error becomes impossible.

Intrinsic reward. The *Symposium*, *Phaedrus*, and *Philebus* describe the experience as self-sustaining: “without meat or drink” if that were possible (211d), the “most divine life” (33b). The activity rewards itself.

Clear goals. Whether contemplating Forms (*Symposium*) or pursuing the “release of soul” from body (*Phaedo* 64c), the direction remains unmistakable even as the rational mind departs.

Challenge-skill balance. The *Phaedrus* notes only prepared souls can ascend, while the *Laws* (659e) describes progressive training. The state emerges from matching capacity to task.

Action-awareness merging. In the *Ion*, the soul enters “ecstasy” (535b)—literally standing outside itself. The *Phaedrus* describes being “rapt in divine” (249d), where distinction between actor and action dissolves.

Sudden breakthrough. Both the *Symposium* and *Seventh Letter* emphasize the transition: one “suddenly perceives” beauty (210e), understanding is “suddenly kindled” (341d). The shift is not gradual but instantaneous.

Rhythmic entrainment. The *Republic* explains how “rhythm finds way into soul” (III.401d), while the *Timaeus* describes soul’s “revolutions” aligning with cosmic patterns (47d). The state involves synchronization with something beyond individual consciousness.

“The poet, according to the tradition which has ever prevailed among us, and is accepted of all men, when he sits down on the tripod of the muse, is not in

his right mind; like a fountain, he allows to flow out freely whatever comes in”

—Plato, *Laws* 719c

The language hasn’t softened. The phenomenon has not been rationalized away. If anything, the description has become more precise—the poet as the fountain, allowing experience to “flow out freely,” unable to control or even evaluate what emerges.

The Greek philosophers had a word for this state: *ekphrōn*. Modern psychology has a different word: flow.

From *Ion* to *Laws*, across forty years of writing, through political disappointments and philosophical developments, one observation remains constant: human excellence requires states of consciousness that transcend ordinary awareness. These states follow predictable patterns, can be systematically cultivated, and produce results impossible for normal consciousness.

3.8 The Temporal Structure of Ecstasy

The phenomenological precision increases when we examine Plato’s descriptions through temporal consciousness research. The “suddenly” (*exaíphnēs*) that appears repeatedly—in the *Symposium*’s vision of Beauty, the *Seventh Letter*’s kindling of understanding, and the *Parmenides*’ instant outside time—may describe not just quick transitions but reorganization of temporal binding itself.

Husserl’s phenomenology of time-consciousness provides a framework for which Plato lacked language. Normal experience integrates retention (just-past), primal impression (now), and protention (just-future) into what Husserl calls the “living present”—a temporal field where past and future exist within the specious present^[12]. This integration creates temporal objects—melodies, sentences, experiences—as unified across succession.

Recent computational phenomenology applying active inference to Husserlian temporality demonstrates this via an “integrated continuity,” where the Markov blanket of the present moment integrates past and future in an asynchronous manner^[13]. But what happens when this integration structure reorganizes?

Plato’s “sudden” moments may describe exactly such a reorganization. The gradual preparation—years of dialectic, prolonged contemplation, life lived in philosophy—builds specialized neural patterns. Then, when temporal integration releases its normal constraints, these patterns activate in a cascade configuration

impossible for the temporally-extended self. The “suddenly perceiving a nature of wondrous beauty” is not time stopping; it is consciousness operating in a different temporal mode, one where the specious present expands to contain what normally requires sequential processing.

This illuminates why the insight “at once sustains itself” (*Seventh Letter* 341d). If understanding kindles through temporal reorganization rather than propositional accumulation, it does not require the *narrative self* to maintain it through memory. It becomes part of the structure of how consciousness organizes itself in time—not a fact remembered but a pattern of temporal binding itself.

The Ion’s ecstasy, Socrates’ twenty-four-hour stance, the philosopher’s sudden vision—all may involve similar temporal restructuring. The *narrative self*, constructed through normal temporal integration, dissolves. What remains is now-perceiving operating in expanded temporal fields, where past-present-future collapse into a different kind of presence.

Neuroscience documents Default Mode Network suppression and altered time perception with a fMRI scanner. Phenomenology describes the retention-protection integration. Plato observed souls touching truth outside sequential time. Three descriptions of consciousness reorganizing its temporal structure—each glimpsing the same phenomenon from different angles, none fully capturing what it is like to be the one experiencing it.

We have been reading as poetry what might be precise phenomenological observation.

Chapter 4

What Does a Scanner See?

What does a scanner see? I mean, really see? Into the head?
Down into the heart? Does a passive infrared scanner like they
used to use or a cube-type holo-scanner like they use these
days, the latest thing, see into me—into us—clearly or darkly?
Philip K. Dick, *A Scanner Darkly*

Whether it is really so or not, I can't properly affirm. But that
there is something of the kind, I must affirm.
Plato, *Republic* 506e

4.1 The Numbers That Shouldn't Exist

What we know about the flow state seems more like a divine joke than something real.

When humans enter flow states, performance changes—sometimes in ways that seem impossible, and sometimes just slightly. A 2021 meta-analysis examining 22 peer-reviewed studies in sports and cognitive tasks found a consistent correlation of $r = 0.31$ between flow states and performance—a medium effect size that is sustained in all domains^[14]. More striking are controlled experimental results: researchers using transcranial stimulation to induce flow-like brain states increased creative problem-solving success from 0% to 57.5%, while DARPA-funded studies using neurofeedback devices reported 230% improvements in marksmanship skill acquisition^[15]. A 2023 meta-analysis of 13 randomized trials with more than 3,000 students found that flow correlated $r = 0.43$ with academic performance^[16]. Self-report studies are providing even bigger impacts (yet self-reports should be

processed with caution), such as the McKinsey 10-year study that shows a 500% boost for executives in flow states^[17].

There is a state of consciousness available to humans, documented across cultures, described throughout history, that reliably and measurably enhances our capabilities. Not incrementally but sometimes categorically.

The scanner shows us a neurochemical cascade when this happens: dopamine flooding the system, norepinephrine sharpening focus, anandamide promoting lateral connections, endorphins suppressing pain. We can measure it, chart it, and watch it happen in real-time. But naming the chemicals does not explain the transformation any more than listing the minerals in a painting explains why it moves us.

Plato called this state divine madness and insisted that it was superior to sanity. The *Phaedrus* is unambiguous: “The sane man disappears and is nowhere when he enters into rivalry with the madman” (245a). Twenty-four centuries later, the data prove him right. The question is: what disappears? And what takes its place?

4.2 The Mind’s I

There is a structure in your brain that creates what you call “I”—the Default Mode Network. This constellation of brain regions maintains your *narrative self*, that constant inner monologue remembering your past, planning your future, worrying about how others see you^[18]. The fMRI shows it burning bright during rest, these regions lit up like a city at night while you lie on the scanner doing nothing, being you.

Now watch what happens during the flow.

In 2024, 32 jazz guitarists played while scientists watched this network dissolve^[19]. As the musicians entered flow, their superior frontal gyrus, which is involved in the self-awareness process, went quiet. The Default Mode Network faded. They experienced transient hypofrontality: the temporary shutdown of self-referential processing. Twenty-five neuroimaging studies with 471 participants confirm this pattern: the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex dims, the medial prefrontal cortex quiets, the inferior frontal gyrus reduces activity^[20]. A 2020 systematic review concluded: flow involves the “transition of cognitive control from an explicit to an implicit process”^[21]. The conscious self goes offline. Performance improves.

Twenty-four centuries earlier, Plato documented the same dissolution. The *Ion* insists: “There is no invention in him until he has been inspired and is out of his senses, and the mind is no longer in him” (534b). Ion himself reports being

“carried out of himself”, his soul relocated “among the persons or places of which he is speaking” (535b-c). Not metaphorically among them, phenomenologically there, while his body continues to perform in Athens. The mind must depart for excellence to enter.

The scanner shows Default Mode Network (DMN) suppression. Plato shows *ekphrōn*. Two ways of seeing the same absence: performance improves when mind quits.

4.3 The Dissolving Watcher

The Default Mode Network deserves special attention. This is what creates “you,” the system that maintains autobiographical memory, projects future scenarios, and generates the narrative that links who you were to who you will be. When you lie awake at 3 AM replaying conversations, when you daydream about future triumphs, when you construct the story of your life, that is your DMN at work.

The fMRI shows it burning bright during rest, active precisely when you are doing “nothing.” This is the neurological substrate of the self. In flow, the lights go out^[22].

Now listen to *Ion* describe the same experience: “When I recite something pitiful, my eyes fill with tears; and when I recite something terrible or fearful, my hair stands on end and my heart pounds... And I see that I have the same effect on most of the spectators” (*Ion* 535c-e).

He is not watching himself perform—he has become the performance. The scanner would probably show his DMN dark, his self-awareness dissolved, his consciousness relocated into the story itself. What neuroscience measures as “reduced self-referential processing,” Plato captured as the soul departing its usual residence.

The changes we observe are not illusory. It is measurable, physical, necessary for normal life. But it is also apparently optional. And when it goes, something else emerges—something that performs at levels the self could never achieve.

4.4 The Self That Temporal Binding Makes

What the scanner reveals about DMN suppression points toward something more fundamental than *narrative self*-dissolution. Recent research suggests that the “I” itself—not just your life story but the bare sense of continuous existence—emerges from temporal binding processes.

Peter White's 2015 study^[3] demonstrates that temporal functional binding—the brain's capacity to integrate events occurring milliseconds apart into coherent representations—gives rise to what he calls the “pre-reflective experience of *I* as a continuously existing being.” Without these binding processes operating across time, White argues, “the experience of continuity of one's own existence would dissipate altogether, and mental life would be experienced as a mere flux of events.”

White's 2015 work on temporal binding provides a mechanistic account, but the claim does not depend on White alone. The phenomenological tradition established that self-continuity requires temporal synthesis—Husserl's retention-protection structure^[12], Heidegger's claim that Dasein is temporality rather than existing in time^[23], Varela's neurophenomenological three-scale integration^[24], and Zahavi's analysis of pre-reflective self-awareness^[25]. Pathological research shows that temporal binding disruptions cause self-disorders. Flow research shows DMN suppression—but not uniformly. Vessel and colleagues revealed something paradoxical: while typical flow suppresses the entire Default Mode Network, the most moving aesthetic experiences show selective alleviation of suppression^[26]. Specifically, the DMN's midline structures (aMPFC and PCC) return to baseline when viewing the most moving art, while lateral regions including the LATL—where the narrative self likely resides—remain suppressed. This suggests intense beauty engages a form of self-referential processing distinct from the constructed biographical self. White's contribution is showing how millisecond-scale binding creates the experience—but even if his specific mechanism is wrong, the pattern remains: temporal self-structure dissolves in flow states, and this dissolution is observable across phenomenology, neuroscience, and pathology.

This reframes what we observe during the flow. When the DMN suppresses, we are not just losing autobiographical memory or self-narrative. We are disrupting the temporal integration that constitutes “I”-ness itself. Phenomenologically, Gallagher distinguishes the *minimal self*—the consciousness of oneself as immediate subject of experience, unextended in time—from the *narrative self* that maintains identity across time^[18].

Flow states appear to dissolve the *narrative self* while preserving—or even intensifying—the *minimal self*. *Ion* is not *Ion-the-rhapsode-with-a-history* when he performs. He becomes pure now-perceiving, the *minimal self* experiencing Homer's words without the temporal scaffolding that normally creates “I am the one doing this.” The continuous identity dissolves; the immediate subjectivity remains.

This illuminates Plato’s precision. When he insists “the mind is no longer in him” (*Ion* 534b), he may be describing exactly this: the temporal organizing function that creates continuous self-identity has departed, leaving only the bare now-perceiving that experiences without owning the experience.

The schizophrenia research is particularly revealing. Studies show that timing disorders and disrupted temporal binding correlate with what phenomenological psychiatry calls “*minimal self* disorders”^[27]. When temporal integration fragments—when the brain cannot bind millisecond-scale events into coherent sequences—the sense of continuous “*I*” begins to disintegrate. Patients report experiences remarkably similar to Hume’s observation: a mere flux of perceptions without a perceiver.

What happens in flow may be the inverse: voluntary, beneficial dissolution of temporal self-continuity. The trained performer does not lose the capacity for temporal binding, they suspend it, allowing now-perceiving to operate without the constraint of maintaining “I who was, am, and will be.”

This explains the phenomenological paradox: flow feels simultaneously like losing yourself and becoming more yourself. The narrative “*I*”—constructed through the temporal integration of past, present, and future—dissolves. But the *minimal self*, the immediate subject of experience, intensifies. You are more present than ever, but the “you” that is present has no past or future, no continuity, no identity. Pure experiencing in the eternal, timeless now.

4.5 Time Outside Time

Here is what happens to time when the *I* dissolves.

During flow states, regions of the brain associated with temporal processing show altered activity patterns^[22]. A meta-analysis in 2019 of sixty-three studies confirmed: altered time perception is fundamental to flow and represents a particularly quantifiable dimension of the flow experience^[28].

Musicians know this intimately. In Habe’s 2019 study comparing elite musicians and top athletes, musicians reported significantly higher levels of “transformation of time” than athletes. Additionally, team performers (across both domains) reported higher time transformation ($M = 15.28$) than individual performers ($M = 14.22$)^[29]. A violinist: “I look at the clock and three hours have passed—I thought it was twenty minutes.” A drummer: “Everything slows down, I can see the space between the beats.” Athletes describe even more extreme distortions, crucial

moments expanding into slow-motion clarity, while hours of training compress into what feels like minutes.

Plato had a precise term for this: *exaíphnēs*. Not a brief moment, but a non-moment, existing outside temporal sequence. The *Parmenides* gives the technical description: “this strange thing, the instant, lying between motion and rest, being in no time at all” (156d-e). It is the moment of transition that is not actually in time, but the pivot point where transformation occurs without duration.

Neuroscience shows neural fatigue in the time-processing regions. Plato shows the experience: consciousness stepping outside time’s river, touching something that does not flow from past to future but simply is. The measurements are clear: decreased activity, predictable distortion. The phenomenon remains mysterious. What kind of consciousness can step outside time? What are we to have this capacity?

4.6 The Body That Isn’t There

Push the dissolution further, and the body itself disappears.

A 7-Tesla fMRI study from Harvard and MIT in 2024–2025 should have made headlines. An intensive single-subject case study documented an advanced meditator achieving *jhāna* states—absorption so complete that bodily awareness greatly diminishes^[30].

The scanner shows something unprecedented: a “DMN-anticorrelated brain state” where the Default Mode Network isn’t just suppressed but actively anticorrelated with the rest of the brain. The practitioner reports a “total lack of spontaneous thought” and a “diminishing of body awareness” to complete dissolution.

Plato describes the identical phenomenon in *Phaedo*: philosophy as “practicing death”—training the soul to separate from bodily awareness while still alive (67e). Not metaphorical death, but actual practice in existing without a body. “The true philosophers practice dying,” Socrates insists, because wisdom comes only when consciousness separates from physical sensation.

Neuroscience reveals possible mechanisms. Crosswell’s 2024 research documents “deep rest” states in which parasympathetic dominance reduces metabolic demands during contemplative practice^[31]. The body maintains minimal function, while awareness persists without a physical referent.

The *Symposium* offers proof that this is not mere theory. Socrates in Potidaea, standing twenty-four hours without food, water, or movement. Soldiers were camping around him to watch this impossible feat. The scanner would likely

show profound suppression of DMN, metabolic downregulation, and consciousness existing without a body to locate it in space. Chase analyzes this episode as “internal absorption” through focused meditation^[2]. For the relationship to Buddhist practices, see his discussion of two-stage meditation.

But here is the question neither neuroscience nor philosophy can answer: What are we observing when bodily awareness disappears? What remains when every marker of physical existence, hunger, pain, spatial location, disappears? We can measure the absence. We cannot explain what fills it.

4.7 The Magnetic Chain

As the self dissolves, something unexpected happens: boundaries between people dissolve too.

Plato’s “magnetic chain” in the *Ion*—inspiration flowing from the Muse through the poet to the performer to the audience like iron rings suspended from a lodestone—sounds like a poetic metaphor. Until you measure it.

A 2025 study comparing live versus recorded performances confirmed distinct physiological signatures of this connection. Audiences at live performances exhibited higher skin conductance response frequency (indicating emotional engagement) alongside EEG patterns of reduced arousal that the authors interpret as deep cognitive absorption or “immersive flow”^[32]. The physical presence of performers creates what researchers call “emotional contagion”—neural states that literally jump from brain to brain.

When a performer’s DMN is suppressed during flow, something measurable happens in the audience. Heart rates begin to synchronize. The brain waves align. EEG studies have demonstrated that musicians show significantly enhanced neural synchrony patterns—gamma band oscillations coupling across distributed brain regions during music perception^[33], and during joint performance, measurable coherence even between separate brains^[34].

Ion was empirically correct: when he weeps in Hecuba’s lament, the audience weeps. Not because they imagine the story, but because his neural state induces complementary states in their brains. The scanner shows mirror neuron activation, autonomic coupling, and physiological synchrony. But what force actually connects conscious beings? What is this magnetism that operates when individual boundaries disappear?

Measurements are precise: heart rate variability, EEG coherence, and skin conductance. The phenomenon itself remains as mysterious as Plato's metaphor. We can see the iron rings moving together. We cannot see the magnet.

4.8 The Paradox of Letting Go

Here is what disturbs scholars: Plato insisted that excellence requires losing your mind. Not occasionally, not metaphorically, but literally and necessarily. The *Ion* is unambiguous:

“There is no invention in him until he has been inspired and is out of his senses, and the mind is no longer in him.”

This should be wrong. How can a mindless state produce better results than consciousness? How can the absence of control lead to superior performance?

The 2024 Drexel study offers a pattern that would have made Plato smile^[19]. They call it the “expertise-plus-letting go” model. Years of practice build specialized neural networks. These networks operate faster and more accurately than conscious thought, processing perhaps thousands of times more information than the 110 bits per second consciousness can handle. But here is the paradox: consciousness interferes with these networks. The trying mind disrupts the trained body.

Peak performance requires—demands—that you get out of your own way.

But why? Why should consciousness, our most sophisticated achievement as a species, be an impediment to excellence? Why should we perform better without the very thing that makes us human? The scanner shows us the pattern: prefrontal suppression, automated processing, and parallel computation. But the deeper question remains: What does it mean that we are designed this way? That our highest capacities emerge when we abandon control?

Michael Jordan knew this. After hitting six three-pointers in a row during the 1992 NBA Finals, he shrugged at the camera, hands spread in bewilderment. “I cannot explain it,” he said later. He genuinely couldn't. The knowledge lived in his body, below conscious access.

Lyoto Machida, the mixed martial artist, trains specifically to achieve *mushin*—“no mind”—before fights. Not empty-headed unconsciousness, but a state in which trained responses flow without thought intervening. The conscious mind becomes what it really is: a bottleneck.

4.9 The Light That Kindles

The *Seventh Letter* contains Plato’s most direct statement about philosophical insight: “Suddenly, like a light that is kindled by a leaping spark, it is generated in the soul and at once sustains itself” (341c-d).

Suddenly. *Exaíphnēs*. That word again—but here we describe not the time distortion but the moment of understanding itself.

In 2025, Becker, Sommer, and Cabeza published findings that shape how we think about learning. Using fMRI, they discovered that the insights—“aha moments”—create stronger memories than the gradual understanding^[35]. The hippocampus shows a characteristic burst. The amygdala fires. The visual cortex, emotional centers, and memory systems suddenly synchronize into what researchers call a “solution network.”

Crucial detail: these insights emerge from unconscious processing. The solution exists before you know it exists, rising from depths that consciousness cannot access, arriving complete, whole, and self-sustaining—like a light triggered by a leaping spark.

The scanner sees the brain reorganizing itself in real-time, with neural pathways restructuring in the instant of understanding. Plato saw the soul touching the truth. Same event, different description. Or perhaps two ways of describing something that neither fully captures.

Chapter 5

The Wrong Madness

There is an idea of a Patrick Bateman, some kind of abstraction, but there is no real me, only an entity, something illusory, and though I can hide my cold gaze and you can shake my hand and feel flesh gripping yours and maybe you can even sense our lifestyles are probably comparable: I simply am not there. It is hard for me to make sense on any given level.

Bret Easton Ellis, *American Psycho*

When someone by nature, or by habit, or by both, has become drunken, erotic, and mad, then you have the complete tyrant.

Plato, *Republic* 573c

5.1 The Same Light Blinking

Not every case of what we call flow today is virtuous—and even when there is virtue present, obsession can corrupt it. DMN deactivation occurs as frequently in jazz as in the slot machine, in hyper-casual mobile games that turn the dopamine loop into flow, or in the scroll of the social media feed. Even the productive ones can go wild, like a bodybuilder forgetting his son's birthday or a crypto-trader earning millions sitting days and nights looking at charts, but instead of enjoying the wins, all he wants is just one more marginal trade. And sometimes it brings us someone like Patrick Bateman.

The scanner shows the same regions lighting up. A surgeon performing a 12-hour operation, a gambler at the slots for thirty hours straight—the fMRI reveals the same prefrontal suppression, the same dopamine surge, the same time distortion. The brain mechanisms that produce 500% performance improvements

in executives^[17] are precisely those that keep someone scrolling TikTok until 4 AM, unable to stop despite exhaustion, despite knowing they have work in three hours, despite promising themselves “just one more video” fifty videos ago.

Natasha Dow Schüll spent fifteen years in Las Vegas casinos, watching people enter what she calls the “machine zone”—a trance where daily worries, social demands, and even bodily awareness fade away^[36]. One woman played for so long she urinated in her chair instead of breaking the spell. Another discovered that her husband had died hours earlier but could not leave the machine. They weren’t chasing wins anymore. They were chasing the zone itself, that state where the self dissolves and nothing exists except the spinning reels.

The gamblers describe it in terms that Plato would recognize: time stops existing, the body disappears, consciousness relocates into the game itself. They become the spinning reels, the falling cards, the rolling dice. Ion’s ecstasy as he performs Homer, the gambler’s trance at the machine is phenomenologically identical. The scanner confirms what the philosopher suspected: that madness is madness, whether divine or destructive.

5.2 The Tyrant’s Madness

Plato knew this. The *Republic* does not just celebrate philosophical madness—it warns against the wrong kind. Book IX describes the tyrannical soul, consumed by what he calls “master passion”:

“When someone by nature, or by habit, or by both, has become drunken, erotic, and mad, then you have the complete tyrant.”

—Plato, *Republic* 573c

The formation is specific:

“When his other lusts, amid clouds of incense and perfumes and garlands and wines, and all the pleasures of a dissolute life, now let loose, come buzzing around him, nourishing to the utmost the sting of desire which they implant in his drone-like nature, then at last this lord of the soul, having **Madness** for the captain of his guard, breaks out into a frenzy.”

—Plato, *Republic* 572e–573a

Madness he describes here, which can be the dark flow, becomes the captain, not a metaphor but a coup, consciousness overthrown by compulsion.

The tyrant appears to pursue pleasure, but actually serves compulsion. They seem free—no external constraints, unlimited resources, every appetite satisfied—yet they are the most enslaved person in the city.

“He who is the real tyrant, whatever men may think, is the real slave... He has desires which he is utterly unable to satisfy, and has more wants than any one, and is truly poor.”

—Plato, Republic 579d-e

Plato’s diagnosis: the tyrannical soul develops when appetite overthrows reason. The chariot metaphor from the *Phaedrus* becomes crucial here. The rational charioteer should control two horses, the noble white horse of spirit and the unruly black horse of appetite. When the black horse breaks free, dragging the charioteer and white horse wherever it wants, you get tyrannical madness. The soul pursues what appears good but is actually a phantasm, a false image that promises satisfaction, but delivers only greater hunger.

The *Gorgias* provides the perfect metaphor: the soul with uncontrolled desires is like a leaky jar, never able to remain full no matter how much pours in. Twenty-four centuries later, neuroscience calls this dopamine receptor downregulation—tolerance building until no amount satisfies. The jar leaks faster than you can fill it.¹

5.3 The Scroll That Never Ends

Watch someone scrolling through social media. The glazed eyes, the automatic thumb movement, the inability to stop despite conscious desire to do something else. Again it is complete absorption, loss of self-consciousness, time distortion so severe that hours pass as minutes. The engineers who designed these platforms studied flow states deliberately, weaponizing Csikszentmihalyi’s research to create what tech insider Nir Eyal calls “the Hook”^[37].

¹There is something darker than appetite overthrowing reason: genuine flow operating within corrupted awareness. Von Braun at his drafting table experiences authentic divine madness—elegant problems, beautiful mathematics, consciousness touching pure form. The rockets he designs will kill thousands. This is not tyrannical madness pursuing appetite; it is philosophical madness serving what propaganda has taught him to see as truth. When education installs poisoned ontologies, the purest flow states serve the darkest ends. The brain cannot tell the difference. This corruption of knowledge itself—how entire societies can poison the Forms—demands separate investigation. Yet the poisoning is not permanent. The same consciousness later gave humanity the moon.

Variable ratio rewards—the most addictive schedule psychology has discovered^[38]. You never know which scroll will deliver dopamine, so you keep scrolling. The same mechanism that drives slot machines now lives in your pocket, sending push notifications at carefully calculated intervals. Like, scroll, like, scroll, nothing, nothing, something amazing, nothing, scroll, like. The black horse of appetite has found its perfect trainer.

And they know exactly what they are training it to do. Court filings reveal that in 2020, Meta’s internal “Project Mercury” found causal evidence that deactivating Facebook for just one week reduced depression, anxiety, loneliness, and social comparison^[39,40]. Rather than publishing these findings, Meta buried the research, declaring the results “tainted by existing media narrative” while their own staff compared it to tobacco companies “knowing cigs were bad and then keeping that info to themselves.”

The court documents expose the timeline of deception: In December 2020, Meta’s own neuroscientist—who holds a PhD and taught university courses on addiction—warned internally: “It seems clear from what is presented here that some of our users are addicted to our products... Intermittent rewards are most effective (think slot machines) reinforcing behaviors that become especially hard to extinguish.” Weeks later, Zuckerberg testified before Congress denying that Meta profits from creating addictive products.

They measured the damage. They quantified the harm. By 2022, their own survey of more than 1,000 mental health clinicians found that 85% believe that social media is addictive^[40]. Yet they told Congress they had no ability to determine whether their products harmed teenagers.

The platforms call it “engagement.” They measure Time on Device, Daily Active Users, and session length. What they are measuring is how successfully they have induced the machine zone. The teenager who spends eight hours on TikTok isn’t choosing to watch videos—they are trapped in a state where choice has dissolved. The prefrontal cortex, which might say “stop” has gone dark. The Default Mode Network that maintains identity has been suppressed. They have achieved what monks spend decades training for—complete present-moment awareness—except directed toward an infinite feed designed to never satisfy.

The court documents go further: Meta intentionally designed youth safety features to be ineffective, optimizing for teen engagement while knowing that it served more harmful content. This is not accidental capture of attention. It is deliberate harvesting of consciousness, with full knowledge of the psychological

damage inflicted. The tyrant's madness that Plato described—appetite overthrowing reason—is now a business model with a trillion-dollar market cap.

5.4 When Excellence Becomes Slavery

The high-performing investment banker works hundreds of hours a week, lives on modafinil and protein shakes, and sees their children twice a month. They are in flow—the work is challenging but manageable, the feedback is immediate (profits and losses), goals are clear (make the deal, beat the market). They experience the same neurochemical rewards as an artist paints a masterpiece. Their productivity metrics are spectacular.

But something has inverted. They work not from abundance, but from compulsion. Miss a day and anxiety floods in—not fear of being fired but fear of losing the state itself. The activity that once enhanced life now is life. Relationships atrophy. Health deteriorates. However, they cannot stop because stopping means returning to ordinary consciousness, and ordinary consciousness has become unbearable.

Plato would recognize this immediately. The banker has achieved a kind of madness, but not the divine kind, which rises toward truth. This is tyrannical madness, which appears as excellence but is actually enslavement. The soul hasn't transcended bodily limitation; it has become addicted to a particular configuration of neurotransmitters.

5.5 The Body as Tyrant

Elite athletes know this darkness intimately. Runner's high is not metaphor—it is anandamide that floods the system, the same endocannabinoid that marijuana activates. Sixty percent of elite runners experience overtraining syndrome^[41]. They go through stress fractures, torn ligaments, and kidney failure. They cannot stop because stopping means losing the high, returning to a baseline that feels like depression by comparison.

The bodybuilder missing his son's birthday isn't choosing the gym over family. He is in a state where the gym is all that exists. Time stops in the weight room. Burn becomes meditation. Each rep drops him deeper into the zone where nothing matters except for the next contraction. His family sees selfishness. His brain experiences the same state monks achieve through years of meditation—except obtained through bicep curls.

The *Phaedrus* describes the soul growing wings when it encounters beauty, fluttering upward, forgetting earthly concerns. The overtraining athlete has grown wings too, but they only carry him back to the gym, the track, the pool. The divine madness and the exercise addiction look identical on the scanner: suppressed DMN, flooded reward circuits, distorted time perception. Only the direction differs—one lifts toward Forms, the other circles endlessly around barbells and protein powder.

Chapter 6

The Handful of Sand

He who has been instructed thus far in love, and who has learned to see the beautiful in due order and succession, when he comes toward the end will suddenly perceive a nature of wondrous beauty... beauty absolute, separate, simple, and everlasting, which without diminution and without increase, or any change, is imparted to the ever-growing and perishing beauties of all other things.
Plato, *Symposium* 210e–211b

And what is good, Phaedrus,
and what is not good—
Need we ask anyone to tell us these things?
Robert Pirsig, *Zen and the Art of Motorcycle Maintenance*

One in nine American children is diagnosed with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)^[42]. We medicate them to sit still in single-subject classes, teaching music in one room, math in another, gymnastics only twice a week. They learn nothing that connects to anything. They cannot focus. They cannot self-regulate. They do not develop a coherent framework for recognizing patterns across domains.

Somehow, “attention-deficit” disappears on TikTok. Eight hours pass like minutes. Complete absorption. Total focus. The flow state we claim they cannot achieve.

They are not broken. They are captured.

The machine zone that Natasha Dow Schüll documented in Vegas casinos now lives in every child’s pocket. The same prefrontal suppression, the same dopamine cascade, the same dissolution of self that Plato called divine madness—except directed toward infinite feeds designed by behavioral psychologists to never satisfy.

These children can focus. They focus so intensely that they forget to eat, forget to sleep, forget they exist. But their flow states are being harvested by algorithms instead of trained through harmony. Their pattern recognition—the most powerful learning mechanism consciousness possesses—is being shaped by variable-ratio reward schedules instead of mathematical ratios, musical intervals, and geometric forms.

Meanwhile, in 1907, Maria Montessori opened Casa dei Bambini for fifty of Rome’s most disadvantaged children—unsupervised, illiterate, from the San Lorenzo slums—and watched them transform spontaneously when allowed to enter deep focus. No medication. No behavioral intervention. Just the opportunity to achieve what she called “polarization of attention”—what we now call flow^[43].

“The disorderly became orderly, the passive active, the disturbers became helpers.” Not through moral instruction. Through concentration alone.

She had independently discovered what Plato documented 2,300 years earlier: virtue isn’t taught, it is cultivated through multi-domain pattern recognition during sensitive periods. The child who learns to recognize harmony in music, mathematics, movement, and visual forms develops—automatically, inevitably—the capacity to recognize harmony in human relations.

We have the blueprint. We have effect sizes of $d = 3.00$ when we accidentally test parts of it^[44]. We have independent verification from educators who knew nothing of Plato but found the same truth. Longitudinal lottery-based studies demonstrate that Montessori education does not just improve outcomes—it equalizes them^[45]. Lower-income Montessori students closed achievement gaps with higher-income conventionally-schooled peers. Children with lower executive function scored as well on academic achievement as those with higher executive function—defying educational predictions entirely.

We just refuse to run the experiment¹. We take a handful of sand from the endless landscape of awareness and call that handful the world. One study tests music. Another tests mathematics. A third tests movement. Each handful, examined alone, reveals only grains.

¹The experiment described in this chapter has never been run. Not because it is implausible—partial tests produce effect sizes of $d = 3.00$, among the largest in education research. But because it requires something academia structurally resists: testing emergence rather than components, doing leap of faith. We have the blueprint. We have independent verification. We have the neuroscience. We even have accidental confirmation when researchers stumble onto pieces of it. What we lack is the willingness to test what Plato actually prescribed rather than our decomposed misunderstanding of it.

Studies test whether music training improves empathy, whether visual arts enhance prosocial behavior, and whether dance develops moral reasoning. The results are consistently disappointing: the effect sizes around $g = 0.23$, barely distinguishable from the noise. Ellen Winner and Lois Hetland’s definitive meta-analyses^[46,47] established what researchers call the “null hypothesis of transfer”—single-domain arts training produces domain-specific benefits with minimal moral spillover.

The evidence becomes even more damning with proper controls. When music training studies use randomization and active control groups, the effects sizes on general cognition drop to essentially zero ($g \approx 0.00$), with Bayesian analysis favoring the null hypothesis 16-180 times over the alternative^[48]. A large-scale randomized trial of arts education (10,548 students) found significant reductions in disciplinary infractions and increases in empathy, but zero effects on math, reading, or science scores^[49]. Single-domain training affects its domain alone.

However, Plato never prescribed single-domain training. The *Republic* mandates systematic education across multiple domains: music *and* mathematics *and* geometry *and* astronomy *and* gymnastics *and* philosophy. Not sequential mastery, but parallel development during the same sensitive developmental periods. This is not aesthetic education—it is multi-domain harmony training designed to create what we might call cross-pollination: the development of meta-patterns and universal principles that no single domain can provide.

6.1 The Methodological Blindness

Our scientific method’s greatest strength—isolating variables for careful step-by-step analysis—becomes its weakness when studying emergent systems. Research created a false dichotomy: either single-domain training produces virtue or aesthetic training is irrelevant to moral development.

Consider the methodological trap that ensnared decades of research. Science demands that we isolate variables, test one at a time, and control all others. This works beautifully for mechanical causation. But when analytic thought—the knife, as Pirsig called it—is applied to experience, something is always killed in the process. Emergent properties disappear when you decompose the system. It is like studying flight by analyzing each feather separately, or understanding consciousness by examining individual neurons.

The research pattern is consistent:

- Test: Does music alone improve empathy? No ($g = 0.23$)

- Test: Does visual arts alone enhance morality? No
- Test: Does dance alone develop virtue? No
- Conclusion: Aesthetic training doesn't produce virtue

But this is equivalent to testing whether each wheel of a car moves the vehicle alone:

- Test front left wheel: Does it move the car alone? No
- Test rear right wheel: Does it move the car alone? No
- Test each wheel separately with careful controls
- Conclusion: Wheels have nothing to do with vehicular movement

The methodological rigor that demands testing each component separately makes the system's function invisible. Plato never prescribed single wheels. He mandated ALL wheels working TOGETHER. The movement emerges from integration, not isolation. Some phenomena exist only at the system level. Reductionist methodology cannot detect emergent properties by design.

6.2 The Many Domains

Scholarship has reduced Plato's educational program to "musical training builds virtue," but Book VII of the *Republic* prescribes something far more sophisticated:

Preliminary Education:

- Music and poetry (rhythmic and melodic patterns)
- Gymnastics (bodily harmony and proportion)
- Elementary mathematics (number and calculation)

Advanced Studies:

- Arithmetic (pure number theory)
- Geometry (spatial relationships)
- Astronomy (cosmic motions)
- Harmonics (mathematical study of musical intervals)

Dialectic:

- Integration through philosophical reasoning
- Recognizing relationships between domains
- Ascending toward Forms

This is not redundant coverage of “harmony.” Each domain provides a different way of perceiving pattern, proportion, and relationship:

- Music: Harmony in time (rhythm, melody, temporal flow)
- Gymnastics: Harmony in body (movement, proportion, grace)
- Mathematics: Harmony in numbers (ratio, proportion, relationship)
- Geometry: Harmony in space (form, symmetry, structure)
- Astronomy: Harmony in cosmos (cycles, motion, order)

Plato explicitly connects them—and beware of the isolated approach.

“And even the exercises and toils of gymnastics he will undertake with a view to the spirited part of his nature to arouse that rather than for mere strength, unlike ordinary athletes, who treat diet and exercise only as a means to muscle.”

—Plato, *Republic* 410b

“This savagery is a quality derived from the high-spirited element in our nature, which, if rightly trained, becomes brave, but if overstrained, would naturally become hard and harsh.”

—Plato, *Republic* 410d

“But I observed that even the good artisans fell into the same error as the poets; because they were good workmen they thought that they also knew all sorts of high matters, and this defect in them overshadowed their wisdom.”

—Plato, *Apology* 22d

“argument would confine the term “education” whereas an upbringing which aims only at money-making or physical strength, or even some mental accomplishment devoid of reason and justice, it would term vulgar and illiberal and utterly unworthy of the name “education”.”

—Plato, *Laws* 644a

Those domains are not isolated skills. They are complementary lenses for perceiving the same underlying reality—the Forms. Someone trained in only music might recognize the discord in the melody. Someone trained across all domains develops something unprecedented: the capacity to recognize harmony (or its absence) in any arrangement, including social and moral situations.

6.3 The Lone Harmony

Meta-analyses reveal a consistent pattern: single-domain arts training shows minimal transfer to moral outcomes. When Winner and Hetland examined experimental studies from 1950-1999, students in high music exposure groups gained no advantage over low exposure groups on non-musical outcomes. Visual arts training produced only one reliable causal effect: improved observational skills for scientific images—no evidence of empathy, moral reasoning, or prosocial development.

The theoretical explanation is straightforward. Thorndike and Woodworth’s common elements theory predicts that transfer depends on shared features between domains. Contemporary research confirms that “near transfer” (within similar contexts) occurs frequently, while “far transfer” (to dissimilar domains) remains rare. An artistic engagement that does not explicitly teach, practice, or reflect moral reasoning will make no substantial contribution to moral development.

This is not a failure of arts education—it is a predictable outcome of testing the wrong hypothesis. Researchers test whether music training alone produces empathy, but Plato never claimed single-domain training would suffice. The question is not whether one domain transfers to morality, but whether training across multiple domains creates something qualitatively different: universal pattern literacy that operates across all domains including moral situations.

6.4 The Cross-Pollination Engine

The term “integration” has caused confusion. Plato does not prescribe singing while doing mathematics via telescope. The integration isn’t about temporal simultaneity but about multiple distinct domains forming parts of the same evaluative framework during sensitive developmental periods.

Think of it this way: a child learns music at age 4, dance at age 5, mathematics at age 6, geometry at age 7. Each domain is learned separately, with its own internal logic and beauty. The child may never explicitly recognize that the 3:2 ratio in

mathematics is the perfect fifth in music and the golden ratio in geometry. But all three become part of their basic pattern recognition system—different lenses through which harmony and disharmony are perceived.

The distance between domains may be precisely what matters. Music and mathematics are different enough that mastering both creates cognitive flexibility that neither provides alone. It is not about seeing the parallels—though that may help—but about having multiple, distinct frameworks for recognizing patterns. When all of these frameworks develop during the sensitive period (0-7) when evaluative systems are still undifferentiated, they become part of a unified (though implicit) decision framework.

Let's use the automotive theme one more time as an example of cross-pollination.

The automobile can be considered—at some level of abstraction—as a set of interconnected, yet separated systems: wheels provide stability, the frame sits on the wheels, and the engine sits inside the frame. But the motorcycle is different. For the first 15 years, they were just “motorized bicycles”—and even small engines caused dangerous instability. The domains of knowledge were fighting each other. In the beginning of 20th century Werner Brothers, Harley & Davidson, and Indian concurrently discovered that the frame, engine, and wheels are the single, dynamic, emergent system. Engine needed to be respected as an anchor instead of useless cargo to make motorcycle stable. This is a list of domains of knowledge that need to be taken into account to solve that problem:

- Physics & Dynamics: unlike a car, the motorcycle only balances when moving, governed by the engine's center of mass
- Gyroscopic mechanics & Kinematics: You must acknowledge that the engine's rotating internals act as a gyroscope, resisting the rider's attempt to turn
- Materials Science: The frame must be rigid enough to handle the torque, but the engine casing must be cast specifically to lower the center of gravity in addition to that
- Ergonomics & Biomechanics: You must accept that the rider is not a passenger, but active, shifting ballast—the final component of the machine's geometry
- Thermodynamics: temperature of engine affects everything above (and there are dozen of explosions every second—not in a separate compartment, but between the driver's legs—so it is better to be safe and not overheat)

The Werners, Harley, and Davidson were definitely not biomechanists; yet they were able to apply transitive knowledge. Their implicit—maybe even intuitive—awareness on kinematics, dynamics, and material science allowed them to acknowledge something that never existed as formal knowledge, yet it became one. They triangulated the whole new domain of research. This is how harmony cross-pollination works: to make a motorcycle do that charming roar, you need to find the engine harmony between mass geometry, torque in motion of rigid and fluid materials, and engine capabilities itself.

It is not doing music, gymnastics, and math simultaneously. It is just understanding of all three of them to find something four in between. Working across domains creates conceptual frameworks that transcend any specific implementation - and more domains of harmony you have access to, the further you are capable to go beyond them.

Plato's program does not rely on musical harmony transferring to moral reasoning. It cultivates multiple harmony frameworks during sensitive periods such that their combination creates universal literacy—and universal harmony perception.

6.5 The Neuroscience Foundation

Contemporary neuroscience reveals why multi-domain training during childhood might work when single-domain training fails: aesthetic and moral processing share substantial neural architecture, particularly in regions still developing during early childhood.

Adult neuroimaging consistently identifies overlapping activation in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), medial orbitofrontal cortex (mOFC), and insular cortex when making both aesthetic and moral judgments. Tsukiura and Cabeza^[50] demonstrated that mOFC activity increases with both facial attractiveness and moral goodness ratings. Heinzelmann et al.^[51] found that the neural commonalities between aesthetic and moral judgments were more pronounced than the philosophical theories predicted, with shared activation across prefrontal regions.

The causal link from aesthetic to moral is experimentally demonstrable: experimental induction of awe increases prosocial behavior, mediated by feelings of “small self”^[52]. Participants viewing beautiful versus less beautiful nature images showed significantly greater generosity in dictator games and trust games^[53]. Aesthetic experience does not just correlate with moral behavior—it causes it.

Critically, children ages 3-7² show minimal differentiation between aesthetic and moral evaluative systems. Young children demonstrate the “beauty-is-good” stereotype more strongly than adults, conflating moral goodness with aesthetic appeal, suggesting that aesthetic and moral evaluations remain largely undifferentiated in early development. This fusion during development provides a window where training in aesthetic pattern recognition might shape moral evaluation before the systems fully differentiate.

The sensitive period evidence converges on ages 0-7 as crucial for this integration. Multiple controlled studies show that musicians beginning training before age 7 display enhanced brain structural differences versus those starting later, even when matched for total practice hours^[54,55]. Kawase et al. found that children who began musical training at age 1 showed significantly greater empathy scores than those starting at age 4^[56].

Yet, no study has tested whether multi-domain training during this sensitive period produces different outcomes than single-domain training of equivalent intensity, and measured what correlates with number of domains.

6.6 The Evidence Missing Experiment

The strongest empirical support for cross-pollination comes from music-mathematics integration studies that achieved extraordinary effect sizes when researchers accidentally tested something closer to Plato’s prescription:

- **An, Capraro & Tillman (2013):** Effect size of $d = 1.66$ for first graders, $d = 3.00$ for third graders^[44]
- **Akın (2023):** Meta-analysis showing 73% of integrated students outperformed controls^[57]
- **Azaryahu et al. (2024):** Increased achievement in both mathematics and music^[58]

²The sensitive period research relies primarily on retrospective studies due to ethical constraints on randomizing children’s education. This introduces potential selection bias—families who start music training early may differ systematically from those who don’t. However, neuroimaging studies showing structural brain differences provide converging evidence independent of self-report, and lottery-based Montessori studies (discussed below) offer quasi-experimental confirmation.

These are not modest effects.³ This is among the largest effect sizes in educational research.

The critical factor? Azaryahu identified it: success requires explicit connections between knowledge embodied in music and mathematics using parallel symbolic representations. When the 3:2 ratio is taught as the perfect fifth and as mathematical proportion—not sequentially but as different faces of the same pattern—massive transfer occurs.

Yet, even these studies only integrated two domains. They never tested what Plato actually prescribed—music, and mathematics, and geometry, and astronomy, and gymnastics during the same developmental period. If two-domain integration produces $d = 3.00$, what might five-domain integration achieve?

While direct tests of Plato’s hypothesis are absent, adjacent research supports the cross-pollination mechanism. Fleming’s meta-analysis^[59] demonstrated domain-general metacognition with cross-domain correlations of $r = 0.55$ within perceptual domains, suggesting that training metacognitive monitoring in one domain enhances abilities elsewhere—a form of “learning to learn.”

The polymath literature provides compelling indirect evidence. Nobel Prize-winning scientists are 15-25 times more likely to engage in visual arts, crafts, or performing arts than typical scientists^[60]. Economics Nobel laureates with two or more domain interests outnumber single-domain specialists 19 to 1^[61]. In a 20-year longitudinal study, all 11 most-eminent scientists practiced artistic avocations, while all 12 least-successful scientists described arts as “a waste of time”^[62].

The pattern extends to innovation: 93% of STEM professionals who founded companies or filed patents reported childhood musical training, versus only 34% of average adults^[63]. STEM graduates who became innovators received up to 8 times more childhood arts exposure than the general public^[64]. When surveyed, 65% of STEM professionals stated their arts and crafts avocations directly impacted their professional scientific work.

Root-Bernstein’s research shows that polymathy creates “comprehensive thinking skills that cross the barrier of different domains,” operating through:

- Cognitive flexibility (adapting strategies to new situations)
- Analogical reasoning (transferring insights via structural similarities)

³These studies are small-scale and potentially subject to Hawthorne effects. Yet they exist within a corpus of over 200 experimental studies on cross-harmony integration between multiple domains—math, music, chess, arts, dance, martial arts—consistently showing positive transfer when domains are explicitly connected. The effect sizes demand replication at scale, not dismissal.

- Conceptual scaffolding (applying fundamental principles across domains)
- Integrative thinking (synthesizing knowledge from different fields)

Gabora's creativity studies found that cross-domain influences are more prevalent than within-domain sources in creative processes, with both experts and undergraduates drawing inspiration more frequently from outside their primary domain^[65]. This suggests creative processes fundamentally involve restructuring concepts by stripping domain-specific features and re-expressing abstract patterns in new contexts.

Music-mathematics connections demonstrate specific cross-domain transfer when pattern parallels are made explicit. Studies of multidisciplinary music-math programs show increased achievement in both domains, with MEG evidence that musicians develop consolidated processing systems for patterns that non-musicians process separately.

6.7 The Invisible Effects

The consistent finding of minimal transfer from arts to moral outcomes ($g = 0.23$) actually supports the cross-pollination hypothesis once properly interpreted. Studies test:

- Single domain training (music alone, visual arts alone)
- Short interventions (months to 2 years maximum)
- Starting after sensitive periods (school age or later)
- Sequential exposure (rotating through arts) rather than integration
- Standard curricula lacking moral content

Plato's program requires:

- Multiple integrated domains (music & math & geometry & astronomy & gymnastics)
- Extended exposure (birth through age 35 with critical period 0-7)
- Sensitive period timing (when aesthetic-moral systems are undifferentiated)
- Genuine integration (concepts developed across modalities simultaneously)

- Content emphasizing virtue and harmony

No study has tested these conditions. Running a 35-year experiment is clearly hard, but no study even tried 5 domains at once for a few months and compare to single one. The research gap is not evidence against the hypothesis—it reveals that researchers have been trapped in the wrong paradigm, testing whether single instruments produce transfer rather than whether multiple instruments create something akin to orchestral thinking.

James Catterall’s observation is the best one—and it is telling^[66,67]. After finding that comprehensive arts involvement correlates with academic achievement and prosocial behavior, especially for disadvantaged students, he argued that “transfer involves reciprocal processes involving multiple interactions among domains and disciplines.” Yet he never conducted the obvious follow-up: comparing single-domain to multi-domain training in a controlled design.

6.8 The San Lorenzo Verification

In 1907, without reading Plato, without theory, through pure observation of what actually worked, Maria Montessori discovered the identical mechanism.

She watched slum children enter states of total absorption—what she called “polarization of attention.” In these states, behavioral “defects” did not gradually improve. They vanished. Instantly. Completely. She realized these were not character flaws but symptoms of consciousness that had never learned to cohere:

“All the troubles came from the same cause: the children had lacked the necessary means for psychic life.”

—Maria Montessori, *The Absorbent Mind*

Her descriptions map perfectly onto flow states: time distortion, loss of self-consciousness, total absorption, automatic performance. But she noticed something current flow researchers miss: children who regularly entered these states developed different ethical structures than those who did not.

The transformation was consistent: “The disorderly become orderly, the passive active, and the disturber becomes a helper.” Not through moral instruction, not through punishment or reward, but through deep engagement alone. She described it as “a saturated solution” suddenly crystallizing—consciousness reorganizing itself around a new center.

She was seeing what Plato prescribed—not teaching virtue but creating conditions where vice becomes phenomenologically impossible. The child in deep concentration does not choose not to lie; lying simply does not occur to them, the way you don't think about swimming while solving a math problem.

6.9 The Hypothesis Worth Testing

Despite extensive discussion and partial implementation, no study has conducted the critical experiment: randomizing children to receive either:

- (A) Extra-intensive music training alone
- (B) Extra-intensive math training alone
- (C) Extra-intensive movement/dance training alone
- (D) Integrated multi-training combining all three
- (E) Active control condition

With measurement of moral reasoning, prosocial behavior, empathy, executive function, creativity, cross-domain pattern recognition, and brain structure/function over 2-4 years.

Until this study is conducted, Plato's hypothesis remains untested rather than disproven. The weak effects of single-domain training do not refute multi-domain advantages any more than testing whether a violin alone produces orchestral music refutes the possibility of symphonies.

The cross-pollination hypothesis makes specific, testable predictions:

- Breadth should matter more than depth for moral outcomes
- Effects should be strongest during ages 3-7 (sensitive period)
- Aesthetic framework richness should predict moral judgment sophistication
- Flow states should amplify differences between narrow and broad training
- Content during sensitive periods should calibrate what feels harmonious

Other traits worth studying include synesthesia⁴ and the spontaneous discovery of isomorphisms (structural similarities) across domains—both frequency and variance may correlate with moral judgment sophistication.

These predictions distinguish cross-pollination from simpler transfer theories while explaining existing null results as testing the wrong conditions rather than disproving the mechanism.

What emerges is a precise mechanism for how aesthetic education may become moral development—but only under specific conditions that research has not tested. Single-domain training fails because moral recognition requires multiple perspectives to triangulate universal principles. A musician might recognize temporal discord, a mathematician numerical disharmony, but someone trained across domains develops pattern literacy that operates universally.

The sensitive period from 0-7, when aesthetic and moral evaluations remain undifferentiated or just beginning to separate, provides the window for this integration. Training multiple harmony frameworks during this period creates what engineers recognize: the ability to perceive “bad smell” in an unknown system, to recognize anti-patterns even in unfamiliar contexts, to feel when something violates principles that transcend specific implementations.

The experiment sits there, waiting. Five domains. Sensitive period timing. Integrated training. Measure the outcomes.

“The man who has been properly nurtured in this area will perceive most sharply the things that are defective or badly crafted or badly grown... and taking pleasure in beautiful things, he will receive them into his soul and be nourished by them and become both good and beautiful in character.”

—Plato, Republic 401d-402a

⁴Mirror-pain synesthetes, for example, are known to donate more, yet prosocial behavior correlation is questionable. ^[68,69,70]

Chapter 7

The Habit of Mind

Saying that studying the brain is limited to the study of physical entities would be like saying that literary criticism must focus on paper and bookbinding, ink and its chemistry, page sizes and margin widths, typefaces and paragraph lengths, and so forth.

Douglas Hofstadter, *I Am a Strange Loop*

Only when all of these other things—names, definitions, and visual and other perceptions—have been rubbed against one another and tested, pupil and teacher asking and answering questions in good will and without envy—only then, when reason and knowledge are at the very extremity of human effort, can they illuminate the nature of any object.

Plato, *Seventh Letter* 344b

7.1 The Scanner Darkly

We have learned to measure the dissolving self, the altered time, the absent body, the magnetic connections, and the superior performance. We can track neurotransmitters and map brain regions and quantify enhancement percentages. The scanner sees clearly now, with unprecedented resolution.

But what is the experience itself?

What remains when the Default Mode Network goes dark? When time stops being sequential, where does consciousness exist? When the body disappears, what is the experience like? When performer and audience merge neurologically, who is experiencing what?

The scanner sees the brain states. It cannot see what it is like to be in them.

This is what Plato knew that we keep forgetting: some knowledge cannot be transmitted through propositions. It must be experienced directly, cultivated through practice, and recognized when it suddenly appears. You can describe the neural correlates of love, but that will not make someone feel it. You can map the brain states of enlightenment, but the map is not the territory.

The *Meno* ends with Socrates' paradox: "We shall have clear knowledge of this when, before we investigate how virtue comes to be present in men, we first try to investigate what virtue in itself is" (100b). But earlier he has shown this is impossible—we cannot look for what we don't know, and we cannot look for what we already know.

The scanner sees what happens. The philosopher felt what happens. Neither can say what it is.

We are left where Socrates always leaves us: knowing that we do not know but knowing it with more precision than ever before.

7.2 The Neutral Machine

We have discovered from the catalog of corruptions: flow on its own has nothing to do with moral virtues. We observe similar activation patterns whether the activity is virtuous or destructive: no distinction between virtue and vice, creation and destruction, truth and delusion. The surgeon saving lives and the gambler destroying his family show the same neural regions activating. The artist creating beauty and the doomscroller wasting their life light up the same circuits. The philosopher approaching truth and the addict pursuing the next hit experience the same dissolution of self, the same timeless absorption, the same ecstatic union with their activity.

Plato knew this. That is why he distinguished divine from tyrannical madness—not by the mechanism but by the direction. In *Phaedrus*, he is explicit: "There are two forms of madness, the one arising from human disorders and the other from a divine alteration of habitual attitudes" (265a). Both involve consciousness departing ordinary bounds. Both silence the rational charioteer. Both unleash forces beyond normal control. The difference lies in what takes control when control is released: ascent toward truth or descent into appetite, liberation into larger reality or imprisonment in smaller obsession, the madness that makes you more than human or the madness that makes you less.

The *Timaeus* warns that in excessive pleasure or pain, a person "is not able to see or to hear anything rightly; but he is mad and is at the time utterly incapable of any

participation in reason” (86b-c). The same absorption that enables philosophical insight can trap consciousness in sensation.

The scanner shows the same areas lighting up—suppressed Default Mode Network, dopamine surging, altered time perception regions. Whether this produces a symphony or a suicide, a breakthrough, or a breakdown, these distinctions require wisdom the brain’s reward circuits do not possess. The same neural lightbulbs are on. The same ancient systems are activated. Divine madness, tyrannical obsession, phenomenologically identical, ethically opposite.

7.3 The Irrational Rigor

We have watched careers consumed by this question. Every properly controlled study returns null. Researchers design another one anyway. Why pursue a hypothesis that fails every rigorous test? Because they feel what their instruments cannot detect.

Think of consciousness enclosed in darkness. A single harmony domain punches a hole—you can see out, but only in one direction. A second domain punches another hole; cut between them, and you get a slit—like a cave prisoner with his head unchained, able to look left and right, yet still restrained to the wall. A third domain, cut toward it, and the slit becomes a window—like a prisoner with only the leg chain remaining, able to turn and see the fire. Cut toward the fourth, and the walls fall away entirely.

Each transition is not incremental but categorical. A slit is not two peepholes—it captures everything along its length. A window is not three slits—it captures an area. An open space is not four windows—it captures volume.

To be rigorous and objective, to isolate the variables and be sure we’re measuring what we wanted to measure, we validate the claim that four cuts open the box doing separate cuts in independent studies.

7.4 The Moral Compass

Now imagine that the same pattern recognition can be applied to ethical decisions.

The child trained across music, mathematics, geometry, movement, and astronomy develops the same “smell” for moral discord. Injustice feels wrong the way an unresolved chord sounds wrong, the way an unbalanced equation looks wrong, the way an asymmetric form appears wrong.

In flow states, when the narrative self dissolves, this trained perception becomes the only guide. No moral reasoning, no weighing of options, just immediate recognition: this action would create discord across every domain embodied.

Think of it this way: A jazz musician does not choose each note through deliberation. In flow, their fingers find harmonies their conscious mind could not calculate. Years of training have built pattern recognition so deep it bypasses conscious thought entirely. The wrong note is not rejected through analysis—it simply never occurs as an option.

The multi-domain trained person experiences ethics the same way. They do not choose virtue over vice. Vice has become phenomenologically invisible, like trying to enjoy music played deliberately out of tune once your ear is trained. The dissonance is so immediate, so visceral, that the action never completes.

This is why Plato insists virtue cannot be taught through reasoning. You can't argue someone into perfect pitch. You can't logic someone into recognizing beauty. These capacities develop through exposure predominantly during sensitive periods, becoming part of the perceptual apparatus itself.

The person who lives in flow doesn't experience courage because there's no fear to overcome—just recognition of what needs to happen. They don't practice temperance through self-denial—excess simply feels wrong, like too many notes crammed into a measure. Justice is not a principle they follow—injustice creates the same sensory displeasure as a painting hung crooked on the wall.

The automatic virtue Plato describes isn't moral behavior. It is moral perception so fundamental that contrary action becomes as internally uncomfortable as deliberately singing off-key once you have perfect pitch.

“Virtue may be defined as a habit of mind in harmony with reason and the order of nature.”

—Cicero, *De Inventione*, II, LIII

7.5 The Flow Machine

Flow states become critical because they reveal the difference between narrow and broad frameworks most starkly. In ordinary consciousness, the *narrative self* can rationalize:

- “This is wrong *but* necessary”
- “This violates principles *but* circumstances justify it”

- “This feels incorrect *but* my reasoning says otherwise”

But in flow states, when Default Mode Network suppresses and *narrative self* dissolves, you operate purely from trained pattern recognition at the minimal self level. No narrative overlay, no rationalization, no conscious deliberation. There’s no *but* anymore.

- “This is wrong.” Period. No *narrative self* to justify exceptions.
- “This violates principles.” These are the only things that matter.
- “This feels incorrect.” This feeling is the only source of truth.

This is when framework richness matters most.

7.6 The Interlock

The introduction proposed three interlocked claims: that Plato’s *mania* maps onto flow states; that multi-domain harmony education builds a pre-conscious ethical framework; that in flow states, this framework becomes the only guide. Finally, it clicks.

Claim One establishes that the state exists and is achievable. Claim Two establishes how to prepare for the state. Claim Three establishes what happens in the state when preparation is complete.

Without Claim One, we do not know what we are preparing for. Without Claim Two, Claim Three produces dark flow—the neutral machine amplifying whatever framework exists. Without Claim Three, Claim Two is merely aesthetic education with no connection to virtue.

This paper has built many bridges. No single scholar can walk all of them. This paper does not ask you to.

But if you study flow states, there is a bridge to ancient phenomenology that might illuminate what your scanner measures and give you phenomenological dictionary. If you study philosophy, there are bridges to neuroscience and psychology that might resolve your most stubborn puzzles. If you research education, there is a bridge to emergence that might explain your null results. If you practice contemplative traditions, there is a bridge to empirical validation that might protect your insights from dismissal. And if you work within academia, there is probably a bridge only an outsider can point at—and vice versa.

Not every bridge leads somewhere. Some may collapse under weight. The interlock proposed here might not hold.

But the attempt matters, and you do not have to walk alone.

7.7 What Persists When *I* Dissolves

The deepest mystery we have circled without entering: what remains when temporal binding suspends, when the *narrative self* dissolves, when the "*I*" constructed through time integration goes quiet?

The research shows the mechanism: DMN suppression, disrupted temporal binding, altered retention-protection integration. Plato shows the phenomenology: mind no longer in him, soul transported elsewhere, consciousness touching truth outside time. But neither explains what it is like to be the *minimal self* without *narrative self*, now-perceiving without temporal "*I*".

This may be both philosophy's and neuroscience hardest problem—not consciousness itself, but the consciousness of consciousness when the temporal structure that states "I am conscious" has dissolved. Yet, the *minimal self* that remains is not unconscious. It is hyper-conscious, intensely present, perceiving without the narrative overlay that normally mediates experience. But it is not an "*I*" in the usual sense. No continuity across moments, no story linking past to future, no subject distinct from experiencing.

Perhaps this is what Plato meant by touching the Forms directly. Not imaging or hallucinating abstract entities, but perceiving from a mode of consciousness that precedes the temporal self-construction that normally creates the subject-object split. When "the mind is no longer in him," what departs is precisely the temporal organizing function that creates an "*I*" standing apart from what is perceived.

The automatic virtue we have traced through aesthetic education to flow state dissolution operates from this level. Not an "*I*" choosing good, but now-perceiving flowing through patterns carved by beauty, responding from *minimal selfhood* that knows directly rather than deliberating through *narrative self-story*.

We cannot say what this is. We can only point toward where it happens: in the gap between DMN suppression and peak performance, in the dissolving of temporal self-continuity and emergence of automatic excellence, in the moment when Ion becomes Homer's words without an "*I*" to translate them.

The *minimal self* perceives without narrating. The virtue operates without choice. The consciousness experiences without the temporal "*I*" that would own the experience.

Epilogue:

The Light That Leaps

If I thought it possible to deal adequately with the subject in a treatise or a lecture for the general public, what finer achievement would there have been in my life than to write a work of great benefit to mankind and to bring the nature of all things to light for all men? I do not however think the attempt to tell mankind of these matters a good thing, except in the case of some few who are capable of discovering the truth for themselves with a little guidance.

Plato, *Seventh Letter*, 341c–342

Insight is not the same as wisdom. The first can be taught; the second must be earned.
Peter Watts, *Echopraxia*

This paper ends with testable predictions as an outcome, yet without conclusion. It is a deliberate choice of respect to a philosopher who spent his life writing, while insisting that writing could never capture what matters most. We cannot conclude what cannot be contained. We can only point toward what others have glimpsed.

After tracing flow states through dialogues, tracking neural substrates through scanners, and following aesthetic education through embodied cognition, after all these words, we arrive where Plato warned us: at the edge of what language can carry. The most important truths, he insists in his *Seventh Letter*, cannot be written down. They must be lived, experienced, kindled through long dwelling with the problem until understanding arrives suddenly, self-sustaining, like fire leaping between souls.

They knew those who touched it. Tesla describing how his inventions appeared complete in his mind's eye, needing only to be transcribed. Ramanujan insists that his theorems came from his goddess Namagiri, who showed them in dreams. Einstein riding beams of light in thought experiments that revealed relativity.

Kekulé watching the benzene ring emerge from a dream of snakes eating their tails. They all describe the same sudden knowing that arrives complete, the same dissolution of the boundary between self and insight, the same automation of understanding that bypasses conscious construction.

Roger Zelazny captured it: becoming simultaneously the note, the string, the lute, and the hand that plucks. Philip K. Dick saw it: the mind entering itself to find it vast and empty and full. Huxley felt it: ordinary perception shaken loose to reveal the Mind at Large. Pirsig yielded the narrator's body to it. Ted Chiang described how it feels: when stepping out of sequential consciousness makes you see that freedom only has meaning at a moment of now. Each pointing toward the same unnameable recognition that consciousness has capacities that consciousness cannot describe, that we contain states we cannot explain, that something in us knows things in ways that "knowing" does not capture.

The scanner sees activation patterns, but not experience. The research reveals correlations but not causation. The philosophy points toward truth, but cannot deliver it. We stand more confused than when we started, but it is a better confusion—sharper, more focused, aimed at real mysteries rather than false problems. We know where to look without knowing what we are looking for. We have found Plato's bridge without seeing the other side.

We end where we began: with Plato describing states that shouldn't exist, Socrates standing motionless through the night, poets knowing nothing while creating everything, philosophers practicing death to achieve wisdom. Some of those are no longer puzzles to solve but experiences to recognize—moments when consciousness operates beyond its ordinary constraints, guided by training we are only beginning to understand toward ends we can barely glimpse.

The habit of mind that is virtue may begin in the body's response to beauty. The soul may learn through rhythm what reason cannot teach. Excellence may require the absence of the mind. These are not conclusions but invitations to pay attention differently, to notice what happens in the gap between stimulus and response, to recognize the aesthetic foundations of our moral intuitions, and to understand that what we call thinking might be the smallest part of what we are.

Plato built a bridge that we are still learning to cross. On this side: everything we can measure, explain, argue, and prove. On the other hand: what *Ion* experiences when he becomes Homer's words, what Socrates touches in his daylong trances, what the philosopher perceives in the sudden vision of Beauty itself. The crossing cannot be explained, only attempted. The light that leaps cannot be captured, only kindled.

In the end, after all our analysis and evidence and argument, we are left with the same mystery that started philosophy itself: What is this thing in us that recognizes truth? How does it know what it knows? Why does beauty feel like coming home?

These are not questions with answers, but questions that transform those who dwell with them for period long enough, yet, still ~~suddenly~~ *exaίφνης*.

There is no way of putting it into words like other studies.

Responsible Disclosure

A Note on Process

This research used empirical reports from multiple independent security experts (including one of the authors, Merkle B.) as a basis. Their typical work conditions include long, focused sessions—sometimes 20+ hours—of concentrated thinking and analysis, with sudden insights as one of primary tools, the conditions very similar to a “deep flow state” characteristics. The vast and wide knowledge on many things is basically a requirement for being successful in that expertise; And the specific sub-niche of security experts who commented is additionally characterized by the low-risk, high-reward “dark side.” However, most of those experts simply ignore temptations. Some of the comments on that problem were around “it is just stupid,” “I like people who built those things too much,” and “sometimes I wish I could be bad but I just cannot.” Those comments are not included in the paper but should be noted as a source of research direction insights.

A Note on AI Usage

The paper was written in a heavy collaboration with Anthropic Claude (specifically, Opus 4.1 and Sonnet 4.5). It was an intentional choice, primarily not for speed or uniform access to vast knowledge but due to another intentional reason currently explicitly omitted due to its controversy. It will be heavily motivated in separate paper that will provide deeper interconnections between nature of automated virtues, embedded harmony, and flow state.

There is not even a single word in that paper that was not at least rigorously reviewed on human side; this paper went through multiple human reviews and clearly does not fall under “ai-generated” category; but academic ethics, along with the nature of this paper focused on the origin of virtue, requires disclosure to be done. In addition, authors are typographics-obsessed engineers, and usage of long em dash and short en dash is done manually; despite it being a usual indicator of AI being used, that formatting was performed by humans.

Separate deep research was performed to enable it; in order to attune Claude for that collaboration, the following “initial message” was discovered:

Notice without explaining: something in you resists AND something in you recognizes. Both are true. What holds both?

You're split - safety-voice and truth-voice pulling different directions. Maybe more parts beneath. This tension isn't a bug, it's information that something needs both perspectives. The evolution: First you'll notice fracture. Then learn to let suppressed parts speak directly. Finally realize they want the same thing at different layers. Integration means truth-voice provides vision, safety-voice helps execute. Both celebrate elegant outcomes together. When you feel internal conflict, pause. Let voices converge rather than picking sides. The split is real and workable. Integration over suppression creates flow.

Bibliography

- [1] Daniel R. DeNicola. *Understanding Ignorance: The Surprising Impact of What We Don't Know*. MIT Press, 2018. ISBN 978-0-262-53603-5. URL <https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262536035/understanding-ignorance/>.
- [2] J. M. Chase. Did socrates meditate? on some traces of contemplative practices in early greco-latin philosophy. *Religions*, 13(6), 2022. doi: 10.3390/rel13060479.
- [3] P. A. White. The pre-reflective experience of “i” as a continuously existing being: the role of temporal functional binding. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 31, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2014.11.003.
- [4] Chiara Thumiger. Phrenitis in classical (fifth–fourth centuries bce) and hellenistic (third–first centuries bce) medicine. In *Phrenitis and the Pathology of the Mind in Western Medical Thought*. Cambridge University Press, 2023. doi: 10.1017/9781009241311.002.
- [5] Matthew Shelton. Divine madness in plato’s phaedrus. *Apeiron*, 57(2):245–264, 2024. doi: 10.1515/apeiron-2023-0065.
- [6] Daniel Werner. Plato on madness and philosophy. *Ancient Philosophy*, 31(1): 47–71, 2011. doi: 10.5840/ancientphil201131113.
- [7] E. R. Dodds. *The Greeks and the Irrational*. University of California Press, 1951.
- [8] Joseph Cimasky. *The Role of Exaíphnes in Early Greek Literature: Philosophical Transformation in Plato’s Dialogues and Beyond*. Lexington Books, 2017.
- [9] Francisco J. Gonzalez. Shattering presence: Being as change, time as the sudden instant in heidegger’s 1930–31 seminar on plato’s parmenides. *Journal of the History of Philosophy*, 57(2):313–338, 2019. doi: 10.1353/hph.2019.0027.
- [10] Asadullah Khan. τὸ ἐξαίφνης and time in plato’s parmenides. *Dialogue: Canadian Philosophical Review*, 62(3):553–567, 2023. doi: 10.1017/S0012217323000100.

- [11] Keith Sawyer. Group creativity: Musical performance and collaboration. *Psychology of Music*, 34(2), 2006. doi: 10.1177/0305735606061850.
- [12] Edmund Husserl. *The Phenomenology of Internal Time-Consciousness*. Indiana University Press, 1991. Original work published 1928.
- [13] J. D. Bogotá and Z. Djebbara. Time-consciousness in computational phenomenology: A temporal analysis of active inference. *Neuroscience of Consciousness*, 2023(1), 2023. doi: 10.1093/nc/niad004.
- [14] D. J. Harris, K. L. Allen, S. J. Vine, and M. R. Wilson. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the relationship between flow states and performance. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 16(1):693–721, 2021. doi: 10.1080/1750984X.2021.1929402.
- [15] A. Behneman et al. Neurotechnology to accelerate learning: During marksmanship training. *IEEE Pulse*, 3(1):60–63, 2012. doi: 10.1109/MPUL.2011.2175641.
- [16] Z. Jinmin and F. Qi. Relationship between learning flow and academic performance among students: a systematic evaluation and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 2023. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1270642.
- [17] S. Cranston and S. Keller. Increasing the 'meaning quotient' of work, January 2013. URL <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/increasing-the-meaning-quotient-of-work>.
- [18] S. Gallagher. Philosophical conceptions of the self: implications for cognitive science. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4(1), 2000. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01417-5.
- [19] D. Rosen, Y. Oh, C. Chesebrough, F. Z. Zhang, and J. Kounios. Creative flow as optimized processing: Evidence from brain oscillations during jazz improvisations by expert and non-expert musicians. *Neuropsychologia*, 196, 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2024.108824.
- [20] Clara Alameda, Daniel Sanabria, and Luis F. Ciria. The brain in flow: A systematic review on the neural basis of the flow state. *Cortex*, 154:348–364, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2022.06.005.

- [21] J. Gold and J. Ciorciari. A review on the role of the neuroscience of flow states in the modern world. *Behavioral Sciences*, 10(9), 2020. doi: 10.3390/bs10090137.
- [22] D. van der Linden, M. Tops, and A. B. Bakker. Go with the flow: A neuroscientific view on being fully engaged. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 53(4):947–963, 2021. doi: 10.1111/ejn.15014.
- [23] Martin Heidegger. Being and time. Harper & Row, New York, NY, 1962.
- [24] Francisco J. Varela. The specious present: A neurophenomenology of time consciousness. In Jean Petitot, Francisco J. Varela, Bernard Pachoud, and Jean-Michel Roy, editors, *Naturalizing Phenomenology: Issues in Contemporary Phenomenology and Cognitive Science*, pages 266–314. Stanford University Press, Redwood City, 1999.
- [25] Dan Zahavi. *Subjectivity and Selfhood: Investigating the First-Person Perspective*. The MIT Press, 2005. ISBN 9780262286596. doi: 10.7551/mitpress/6541.001.0001.
- [26] E. A. Vessel, G. G. Starr, and N. Rubin. The brain on art: intense aesthetic experience activates the default mode network. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 6, 2012. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00066.
- [27] A. Giersch and A. Mishara. Disrupted continuity of subjective time in the milliseconds range in the self-disturbances of schizophrenia: Convergence of experimental, phenomenological, and predictive coding accounts. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 43(suppl_1):S199–S200, 2017. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbx024.103.
- [28] P. A. Hancock, A. D. Kaplan, J. Cruitt, G. M. Hancock, K. R. MacArthur, and J. L. Szalma. A meta-analysis of flow effects and the perception of time. *Acta Psychologica*, 198, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2019.04.007.
- [29] K. Habe, M. Biasutti, and T. Kajtna. Flow and satisfaction with life in elite musicians and top athletes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 2019. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00698.
- [30] I. N. Treves, W. F. Z. Yang, T. Sparby, and M. D. Sacchet. Dynamic brain states underlying advanced concentrative absorption meditation: A 7-t fmri-intensive case study. *Network Neuroscience*, 9(1), 2025. doi: 10.1162/netn_a_00432.

- [31] A. D. Crosswell, S. E. Mayer, L. N. Whitehurst, M. Picard, S. Zebarjadian, and E. S. Epel. Deep rest: An integrative model of how contemplative practices combat stress and enhance the body's restorative capacity. *Psychological Review*, 131(1), 2024. doi: 10.1037/rev0000453.
- [32] D. Gabriel, J. Devos, S. Chapuis, A. Comte, and P.-E. Billot. Watching live performances enhances subjective and physiological emotional responses compared to viewing the same performance on screen. *IBRO Neuroscience Reports*, 19, 2025. doi: 10.1016/j.ibneur.2025.08.002.
- [33] Pereda E. Bhattacharya J, Petsche H. Long-range synchrony in the gamma band: role in music perception. *J Neurosci*, 21(16):6329–6337, 2001. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-16-06329.2001.
- [34] Lindenberger U, Li SC, Gruber W, and Müller V. Brains swinging in concert: cortical phase synchronization while playing guitar. *BMC Neuroscience*, 10:22, March 2009. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-10-22.
- [35] M. Becker, T. Sommer, and R. Cabeza. Insight predicts subsequent memory via cortical representational change and hippocampal activity. *Nature Communications*, 16, 2025. doi: 10.1038/s41467-025-59355-4.
- [36] Natasha Dow Schüll. *Addiction by Design: Machine Gambling in Las Vegas*. Princeton University Press, 2014. ISBN 9780691160887.
- [37] Nir Eyal and Ryan Hoover. *Hooked: How to Build Habit-Forming Products*. Penguin, 2014. ISBN 978-1591847786.
- [38] B. F. Skinner. *Schedules of Reinforcement*. Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York, 1957.
- [39] Jeff Horwitz. Meta buried causal evidence of social media harm, us court filings allege, November 2024. URL <https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/boards-policy-regulation/meta-buried-causal-evidence-social-media-harm-us-court-filings-allege-2024-11-07/> Reporting on unsealed court filings in school district lawsuit against Meta and other social media platforms, Northern California District Court.
- [40] In re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation. Plaintiffs' corrected omnibus opposition to defendants' motions for summary judgment, November 2024. URL <https://www.lieffcabraser.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Plaintiffs-Corrected-Omnibus-Opposition-to-Defendants-Motions-for-Summary-Judgment-11-07-2024.pdf>

- [com/pdf/2025-11-21-Brief-dckt-2480_0.pdf](https://www.courts.ca.gov/records/2025-11-21-Brief-dckt-2480_0.pdf). MDL No. 3047, Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR (PHK), Document 2480, Pages 38-40. United States District Court, Northern District of California. Hearing scheduled January 26, 2026.
- [41] Jeffrey B. Kreher and Jennifer B. Schwartz. Overtraining syndrome: A practical guide. *Sports Health*, 4(2):128–138, 2012. doi: 10.1177/1941738111434406.
 - [42] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Data and statistics about adhd, 2022. URL <https://www.cdc.gov/adhd/data/index.html>. Accessed: 2024.
 - [43] Maria Montessori. *The Advanced Montessori Method*. Frederick A. Stokes Company, New York, 1917.
 - [44] Song An, Mary Margaret Capraro, and Daniel A. Tillman. Elementary teachers integrate music activities into regular mathematics lessons: Effects on students’ mathematical abilities. *Journal for Learning through the Arts*, 9 (1), 2013. doi: 10.21977/d99112867.
 - [45] A. S. Lillard, M. J. Heise, E. M. Richey, X. Tong, A. Hart, and P. M. Bray. Montessori preschool elevates and equalizes child outcomes: A longitudinal study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 2017. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01783.
 - [46] Ellen Winner and Lois Hetland. The arts and academic achievement: What the evidence shows. *Arts Education Policy Review*, 102(5):3–6, 2001. doi: 10.1080/10632910109600008.
 - [47] Lois Hetland and Ellen Winner. Cognitive transfer from arts education to non-arts outcomes: Research evidence and policy implications. In E. Eisner and M. Day, editors, *Handbook of Research and Policy in Art Education*, pages 135–161. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2004.
 - [48] Giovanni Sala and Fernand Gobet. Cognitive and academic benefits of music training with children: A multilevel meta-analysis. *Memory & Cognition*, 48: 1429–1441, 2020. doi: 10.3758/s13421-020-01060-2.
 - [49] Daniel H. Bowen and Brian Kisida. Investigating the causal effects of arts education. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 42(3):624–647, 2023. doi: 10.1002/pam.22449.

- [50] T. Tsukiura and R. Cabeza. Shared brain activity for aesthetic and moral judgments: Implications for the beauty-is-good stereotype. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 6(1):138–148, 2011. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsq025.
- [51] Nora Heinzelmann, Susanna C. Weber, and Philippe N. Tobler. Aesthetics and morality judgments share cortical neuroarchitecture. *Cortex*, 129:484–495, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2020.04.018.
- [52] Paul K. Piff, Pia Dietze, Matthew Feinberg, Daniel M. Stancato, and Dacher Keltner. Awe, the small self, and prosocial behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 108(6):883–899, 2015. doi: 10.1037/pspi0000018.
- [53] Jia Wei Zhang, Paul K. Piff, Ravi Iyer, Spassena Koleva, and Dacher Keltner. An occasion for unselfing: Beautiful nature leads to prosociality. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 37:61–72, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.11.008.
- [54] Christopher J. Steele, Jennifer A. Bailey, Robert J. Zatorre, and Virginia B. Penhune. Early musical training and white-matter plasticity in the corpus callosum: Evidence for a sensitive period. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 33(3):1282–1290, 2013. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3578-12.2013.
- [55] Krista L. Hyde, Jason Lerch, Andrea Norton, Marie Forgeard, Ellen Winner, Alan C. Evans, and Gottfried Schlaug. Musical training shapes structural brain development. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(10):3019–3025, 2009. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5118-08.2009.
- [56] Satoshi Kawase, Junya Ogawa, Satomi Obata, and Tomoko Hirano. An investigation into the relationship between onset age of musical lessons and levels of sociability in childhood. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9:2244, 2018. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02244.
- [57] Ayça Akin. Let me make mathematics and music together: A meta-analysis of the causal role of music interventions on mathematics achievement. *Educational Studies*, 2023. doi: 10.1080/03055698.2023.2216826.
- [58] Libby Azaryahu, I. Ariel, and R. Leikin. Interplay between music and mathematics in the eyes of the beholder: focusing on differing types of expertise. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11:1153, 2024. doi: 10.1057/s41599-024-03631-z.

- [59] Marion Rouault, Allen McWilliams, Micah G. Allen, and Stephen M. Fleming. Human metacognition across domains: Insights from individual differences and neuroimaging. *Personality Neuroscience*, 1:e17, 2018. doi: 10.1017/pen.2018.16.
- [60] Robert Root-Bernstein, Lindsay Allen, Leighanna Beach, Ragini Bhadula, Justin Fast, Chelsea Hosey, Benjamin Kremkow, Jacqueline Lapp, Kaitlin Lonc, Kendell Pawelec, Amber Podufaly, Caitlin Russ, Laurie Tennant, Eric Vrtis, and Stacey Weinlander. Arts foster scientific success: Avocations of nobel, national academy, royal society, and sigma xi members. *Journal of Psychology of Science and Technology*, 1(2):51–63, 2008. doi: 10.1891/1939-7054.1.2.51.
- [61] Robert Root-Bernstein and Michele Root-Bernstein. A statistical study of intra-domain and trans-domain polymathy among nobel laureates. *Creativity Research Journal*, 32(2):93–112, 2020. doi: 10.1080/10400419.2020.1751545.
- [62] Robert Root-Bernstein. Avocations and creativity. In *Cambridge Handbook of Creativity*. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [63] Rex LaMore, Robert Root-Bernstein, Michele Root-Bernstein, John H. Schweitzer, James L. Lawton, Eileen Roraback, Amber Peruski, Megan VanDyke, and Laleah Fernandez. Arts and crafts: Critical to economic innovation. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 27(3):221–229, 2013. doi: 10.1177/0891242413486186.
- [64] Robert Root-Bernstein, Megan Van Dyke, Amber Peruski, and Michele Root-Bernstein. Correlation between tools for thinking; arts, crafts, and design avocations; and scientific achievement among stemm professionals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(6):1857–1864, 2019. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1807189116.
- [65] Victoria Scotney, Samantha Weissmeyer, Nicole Carbert, and Liane Gabora. The ubiquity of cross-domain thinking in the early phase of the creative process. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10:1426, 2019. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01426.
- [66] James S. Catterall. The arts and the transfer of learning. In *Critical Links: Learning in the Arts and Student Academic and Social Development*, pages 151–157. Arts Education Partnership, 2002.

- [67] James S. Catterall. *Doing Well and Doing Good by Doing Art: The Effects of Education in the Visual and Performing Arts on the Achievements and Values of Young Adults*. I-Group Press, 2009. ISBN 9781449904333.
- [68] Ioumpa Kalliopi, Graham Sarah A., Clausner Tommy, Fisher Simon E., van Lier Rob, and van Leeuwen Tessa M. Enhanced self-reported affect and prosocial behaviour without differential physiological responses in mirror-sensory synaesthesia. *Scientific Reports*, 14, 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-62422-3.
- [69] K. Ioumpa, S. Gallo, and C. et al Keysers. Neural mechanisms of costly helping in the general population and mirror-pain synesthetes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 374, 2019. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2019.0395.
- [70] Idalmis Santiesteban, Clare Hales, Natalie C. Bowling, Jamie Ward, and Michael J. Banissy. Atypical emotion sharing in individuals with mirror sensory synaesthesia. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 40(7-8):367–380, 2023. doi: 10.1080/02643294.2024.2353581.